## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDING

## A. Research Finding

1. Students' Ability in Answering Reading Question with High Order Thinking Skill

The descriptions of this research consisted of the students' data scores analysis in answering reading question with HOTS. In analyzing the students' ability in answering reading question with HOTS, the researcher used theory from Bloom Taxonomy. In Bloom's Taxonomy, there are three domains of objectives that are useful for assessing students' behavior in the teaching and learning process. Those three domains are cognitive, affective, and psychomotor and this research focused on cognitive domain.

There are three indicators in High Order Thinking Skills they are Analyzing, Evaluating and Creating. The findings are elaborated as follows:

## Table 4.1

## Students' Score

| No. | Students | Correct <br> Answer | Incorrect <br> Answer | Total <br> Question | Score | Students' <br> Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | QA | 25 | 5 | 30 | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | Good |


| No. | Students | Correct <br> Answer | Incorrect <br> Answer | Total <br> Question | Score | Students' <br> Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | TAN | 25 | 5 | 30 | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | FQA | 23 | 7 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | AAZ | 23 | 7 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | SN | 22 | 8 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | HSK | 22 | 8 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | LSH | 22 | 8 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | MNA | 22 | 8 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | ZPR | 21 | 9 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | DDM | 21 | 9 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | KZK | 21 | 9 | 30 | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | EA | 20 | 10 | 30 | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | TGR | 18 | 12 | 30 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | SASA | 18 | 12 | 30 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | FSA | 17 | 13 | 30 | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | NP | 17 | 13 | 30 | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | DPS | 17 | 13 | 30 | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | NS | 16 | 14 | 30 | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | MNAA | 15 | 15 | 30 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | JP | 15 | 15 | 30 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | Adequate |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | MPZD | 14 | 16 | 30 | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | Poor |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | DAP | 14 | 16 | 30 | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | Poor |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | SAA | 13 | 17 | 30 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | Poor |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | UN | 13 | 17 | 30 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | Poor |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | FMA | 11 | 19 | 30 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | Poor |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | S | 10 | 20 | 30 | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | Poor |


| No. | Students | Correct <br> Answer | Incorrect <br> Answer | Total <br> Question | Score | Students' <br> Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | YPA | 9 | 21 | 30 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Poor |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | MA | 9 | 21 | 30 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Poor |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | JNF | 9 | 21 | 30 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Poor |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | SH | 9 | 21 | 30 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | Poor |
| Total |  | 511 | 389 |  |  |  |

From the table above, the highest score of the students' test result in answering reading question with HOTS are QA and TAN with 83 of score, and the lowest score are YPA, MA, JNF and SH with 30 of score. But from the table above showed that some of students got more than 70 or in good classification. It is indicated that the students already have high ability in answering reading question with HOTS.

Table 4.2
Students' Score Classification

| Absorption interval | Absorption <br> category | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85 \leq \mathrm{X} \leq 100$ | Excellent | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $70 \leq \mathrm{X}<85$ | Good | 11 | $37 \%$ |
| $50 \leq \mathrm{X}<70$ | Adequate | 9 | $30 \%$ |
| $0 \leq \mathrm{X}<50$ | Poor | 10 | $33 \%$ |

From the table above, it can be seen that 10 students' score around in Poor Classification (33\%). And 9 students' score around in Adequate Classification (30\%). And 11 students' score around in Good Classification (37\%). While 0 students' score in Excellent Classification (0\%).

## 2. The Result of Interview

In this study, the researcher interviewed two students who were taken based on the results of the tests that had been carried out. Firstly, the researcher interviewed QA as the student with the highest score. Secondly, the researcher interviewed SH as the student with the lowest score.

## a. The Result of Interview with QA

1. Do you have difficulty working on the analysis questions? (Apakah anda mengalami kesulitan dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan analisis?)
: Iya, ada beberapa pertanyaan yang membuat saya bingung untuk menganalisis textnya.
2. Do you have difficulty doing the evaluate questions? (Apakah anda mengalami kesulitan dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan evaluasi?)
: Iya, bisa dibilang pertanyaan-pertanyaan ini lebih sulit dari yang lain
3. Are you having trouble working on the create question? (Apakah anda mengalami kesulitan dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan kreasi?)
: Iya, meskipun tidak sesulit pertanyaan-pertanyaan evalute
4. What difficulties did you have with the analysis questions? (Kesulitan apa yang anda alami dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan anlisis?)
: Kadang kesulitan dalam memahami isi dari textnya
5. What difficulties did you have in doing the evaluate questions? (Kesulitan apa yang anda alami dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan evaluasi?)
: Karena textnya susah untuk dipahami, Jadi kesulitan untuk menyimpulkan textnya
6. What difficulties did you experience in working on the create questions? (Kesulitan apa yang anda alami dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan kreasi?)
: Kesulitan dalam menentukan atau membuat jalan cerita yang berbeda

## b. The Result of Interview with SH

1. Do you have difficulty working on the analysis questions? (Apakah anda mengalami kesulitan dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan analisis?)
: Iya saya kebingungan dalam mengisi soal analisis textnya
2. Do you have difficulty doing the evaluate questions? (Apakah anda mengalami kesulitan dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan evaluasi?)
: Iya soalnya kesulitan mengerjakan soal jenis ini
3. Are you having trouble working on the create question? (Apakah anda mengalami kesulitan dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan kreasi?)
: Iya saya mengalami kesulitan dalam mengisi soal create tapi tidak terlalu sulit
4. What difficulties did you have with the analysis questions? (Kesulitan apa yang anda alami dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan anlisis?)
: Saya kesulitan ketika membaca teks naratifnya karna menggunakan Bahasa Inggris
5. What difficulties did you have in doing the evaluate questions? (Kesulitan apa yang anda alami dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan evaluasi?)
: Kebingungan ketika mengisi soal karena pilihan ganda di soalnya yang membuat saya keliru
6. What difficulties did you experience in working on the create questions? (Kesulitan apa yang anda alami dalam mengerjakan pertanyaan kreasi?)
: Ketika memahami pertanyaannya

## B. The Analyzing of The Data

## 1. The Students' Ability in Answering Analyzing Question

There were 10 question provided in order to know the students' ability in answering these questions. The most frequent mistake made by students in answering these questions was in number 13. The question is:
"Why didn't the man let the farmer use the water from the well?"
a. Because the man needed the water for himself
b. Because there was no water in the well
c. Because the man obeyed what the emperor said
d. Because water in the well was not included in the sales agreement they made before
e. Because the man thought that he had sold the well but the water was not involved

The question number 13 is the most frequent mistake did by students in answering the analyzing question. Based on the finding, there were 18 students who did mistake in answering this question. The researcher has analyzed each students' answer. After that the researcher has calculated the students' score and found that were 6 students who got Excellent, 12 students who got Good, 6 students who got Adequate, and 6 students who got Poor. It can be seen in the table below:

## Table 4.3

## The Score Description of Students’ Ability in Answering Analyzing

## Questions

| Absorption interval | Absorption category | Total of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85 \leq \mathrm{X} \leq 100$ | Excellent | 6 |
| $70 \leq \mathrm{X}<85$ | Good | 12 |
| $50 \leq \mathrm{X}<70$ | Adequate | 6 |
| $0 \leq \mathrm{X}<50$ | Poor | 6 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |

## 2. The Students' Ability in Answering Evaluating Question

There were 10 question provided in order to know the students' ability in answering these questions. The most frequent mistake made by students in answering these questions was in number 8. The question is:
"What do you think will happen if gods or goddesses cannot mingle in the affairs of people in the earth at that time?"
a. Princess Segara will have married with Raden Begawan
b. Sang Prabu will not hold strength competition
c. Raden Begawan will not die
d. Teja Nirmala will stay in the Kahyangan
e. Wicked Fairy will not take Raden Begawan’s life

The question number 8 is the most frequent mistake did by students in answering the evaluating question. Based on the finding, there were 28 students who did mistake in answering this question. The researcher has analyzed each students' answer. After that the researcher has calculated the students' score and found that 0 students who got Excellent, 5 students who got Good, 14 students who got Adequate, and 11 students who got Poor. It can be seen in the table below:

Table 4.4
The Score Description of Students' Ability in Answering Evaluating
Questions

| Absorption interval | Absorption category | Total of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85 \leq \mathrm{X} \leq 100$ | Excellent | 0 |
| $70 \leq \mathrm{X}<85$ | Good | 5 |
| $50 \leq \mathrm{X}<70$ | Adequate | 14 |
| $0 \leq \mathrm{X}<50$ | Poor | 11 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |

## 3. The Students' Ability in Answering Creating Question

There were 10 question provided in order to know the students' ability in answering these questions. The most frequent mistake made by students in answering these questions was in number 15. The question is:
"How would you change the different ending?"
a. Farmers are prohibited from taking water from their wells
b. The peasant goes to the palace to ask for justice
c. The farmer managed to trick the well seller again
d. The well seller must rent the well to the farmer
e. Farmers were tricked by well sellers

The question number 15 is the most frequent mistake did by students in answering the evaluating question. Based on the finding, there were 27 students who did mistake in answering this question. The researcher has analyzed each students' answer. After that the researcher has calculated the students' score and found that is 1 student who got Excellent, 12 students who got Good, 3 students who got Adequate, and 14 students who got Poor. It can be seen in the table below:

## Table 4.5

The Score Description of Students' Ability in Answering Creating
Questions

| Absorption interval | Absorption category | Total of Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85 \leq \mathrm{X} \leq 100$ | Excellent | 1 |
| $70 \leq \mathrm{X}<85$ | Good | 12 |
| $50 \leq \mathrm{X}<70$ | Adequate | 3 |
| $0 \leq X<50$ | Poor | 14 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |

## 4. The Mistake Done by Students in Answering Reading Questions

 with High Order Thinking SkillAfter analyzing each item answered by students, the researcher found that the most frequent mistake made by students. After doing analysis, it was found that there were 389 mistakes found on the students' answer. The total of mistakes found from all students' answer based on the categories stated in the table below:

Table 4.6

The Total of Mistakes Found in the Students' Answers

| No | Level of <br> Thinking | Indicator | Total of <br> Mistake | Precentage <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Analyzing | Analysis specifically <br> stated detail. | 96 | $25 \%$ |
| 2 | Evaluating | Make an inferred from the <br> passage. | 151 | $39 \%$ |
| 3 | Creating | Producing thoughtful idea <br> to solve problems. | 142 | $37 \%$ |

Based on the table above, it can be seen that there were 389 mistakes made by students which mostly occurred on the second indicator is make an inferred from the passage (39\%). It is followed by two indicator is scan for unstated detail (37\%) and analysis specifically stated idea (25\%).

## 5. The Analyzing of The Interview

## a. Analyze The Result of The Interview

The interview was conducted online with two students grade 8 student of MTs Satu Atap Balaraja who were taken based on the results of the tests that had been carried out. The researcher interviewed QA as the student with the highest score and interviewed HS as the student with the lowest score. Interviews with students were conducted to find out the responses of students to the difficulty of students in doing the reading narrative text questions high order thinking skill especially in analysis, evaluate and create domain. Firstly, the interview with QA was held on Monday, March 8, 2021.

The conclusion of the answers given by QA is for analysis questions She experienced difficulties in particular on several questions that made his confused to analyze the text. He also said that he sometimes had difficulty understanding the contents of the
text.

Meanwhile, for the evaluate question, She also said that he had difficulty and considered this type of problem to be the most difficult to solve. And she had difficulty because of his inability to understand the contents of the text which made it difficult for him to draw conclusions from the text.

Meanwhile, for the create problem, She also said that she was having a hard time although it is not more difficult than the evaluate question. She said that she had difficulty determining or constructing a different storyline but it was still relevant to the content or story of the text.

Secondly, the interview with SH was held on Monday, March 8,2021 . The conclusion of the answers given by SH is for analysis problems, she had difficulty, she said that she felt confused in analyzing the text due to lack of ability to translate the text or lack of vocabulary.

As for the evaluate questions, SH also said that she had difficulty working on this type of problem because he felt confused because this type of problem made his hesitate and made his wrong in doing it.

As for the create problem, she said that this type of problem also made it difficult for his to do it, even though it was no more difficult than an evaluation question. The difficulty she experienced, especially in understanding the text and the questions.

## C. Discussion

This discussion focused on the students' ability to answer the reading questions with HOTS at MTs Satu Atap Balaraja. High Order Thinking Skill can be classified into three which are analyzing, evaluating and creating. Therefore, to know the students' ability to answer reading questions with HOTS, the researcher gave a test to the students which surrounded by analyzing, evaluating and creating questions. There were 10 questions for each skill. Thus, there were 30 questions provided by the researcher that had been answered by the students.

The first research question aimed to find out how are the students' ability in answering reading question with high order thinking skill. The first is analyzing, the findings that have been obtained from the analysis of students' answers in answering analyzing questions by eighth grade students at MTs Satu Atap Balaraja that there were 6 students who categorized excellent was fairly good, they get the average score $85-100$, then there 12 students who categorized good they get the average score
around $70-85,6$ students who categorized adequate they get the average score around 50-79 and 6 students categorized poor they get the average score around 0-50. In the analyzing questions category, the average score of all students' scores was 7 Therefore, it can be assumed that the students are quite good in analysis specifically stated detail.

The second is evaluating, there were 0 student who categorized excellent, then 5 students who categorized good they get the average score around $70-85$, then there were 14 students who categorized adequate they get the average score around $50-70$, and 11 students categorized poor they get the average score around $0-50$. And from the results that students get and the average score that has been done by the researcher. In the evaluating questions category, the average score of all students' scores was 5 . Therefore, it can be assumed that the students are still quite in difficulty in make an inferred from the passage.

The third is creating, there was 1 student who categorized excellent he get the average score around $85-100$. Then there were 12 students who categorized good they get the average score around $70-85$, there were 3 students who categorized adequate they get the average score around $50-70$ and there were 14 students categorized poor, they get the average score around $0-50$. In the creating questions category, the average score of all students' scores was 5 . Therefore, it can be assumed
that the students are relatively still quite in difficulty in producing thoughtful idea to solve problems.

The second research question aimed to find out the mistakes made by the students in answering reading questions with HOTS. From the findings, it was found that the most frequent mistake made by the eleven grade students at MTs Satu Atap Balaraja was make an inferred from the passage (39\%), producing thoughtful idea to solve problems (37\%), and analysis specifically stated detail (25\%).

