STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

Herewith I declare that the research paper I wrote as a partial

fulfillment of the requirements for the Sarjana degree and submitted to

the English Education Department, the Faculty of Education and

Letters wholly constitutes my own original scientific writing.

As for the other persons' works whose ideas are quoted in this

paper have been referred to appropriately in accordance to the

prevailing legal and intellectual ethic in the world of scientific writing

tradition.

However, if the originality of this paper either partially or

wholly is, later on, proved or it falls under convincing plagiarism, I

would be prepare to receive any consequences in the form of any

sanction such as losing my related academic degree obtained from the

institution as well as other rules prevailing in Indonesia.

Serang, September 28th, 2020

Mia Kurniawati

SRN. 161230017

i

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Merciful, the Compassionate.

Praise to be Allah SWT who is all-hearing and seeing, Allah who is almighty on the face of this earth and Allah who has given him mercy and gifts. Do not forget also the great Prophet Muhammad SAW and his followers. By helping Allah SWT the researcher can finish this paper.

However, this success would not be achieved without those supports, guidance, advice, help and encouragement from individual and institution. And I realize that an appropriate moment for me to deepest gratitude for:

- 1. Prof. Dr. H. Fauzul Iman, MA, the Rector of State Islamic University Sultan Maulana Hasanudin Banten.
- Dr. H. Subhan, M.Ed, the dean Faculty of Education and Teacher Training State Islamic University Sultan Maulana Hasanudin Banten.
- 3. Dr. H. Abdul Muin, S.Ag, M.M, the head of English Education Department Faculty of Education and Teacher Training State Islamic University Sultan Maulana Hasanudin Banten.
- 4. Dr. H. Abdul Muin, S.Ag, M.M as the first adviser and Ila Amalia, M.Pd as second adviser who have educated, supported, directed and given the writer advice, suggestion, and a recommendation from this graduating paper for beginning until end.

- 5. All lecturers in the State Islamic University "Sultan Maulana Hasanuddin" Banten, especially the lecturers of English Education Departement who have gave the writer valuable knowledge during the writer studies in this campus.
- The head master of MA Masyariqul Anwar caringin Drs. H. Ois Kholid who has given permission to the writer to conduct this research.
- 7. The English teacher of MA Masyariqul Anwar Caringin Zikri Alwi Haetami, S.Pd for helping me and contribution to the writer while she was doing the research
- 8. My Parents (Mr. Sudirman and Mrs. Unayah), my old sisters and brother (Iik Sulaelah, Umin Hasnuri and Surnah), my cousins (Asyifaurrohmah, Novi Ayu, and Iqbal), and all the part of my big family who always gave the writer support and love.
- 9. All My Beloved friends in "Para Baok (Hena, Lia, Revi, Abi, and Faisal)", in "Sasuke (Dahlia, Vini, Mutiara, Ika, and Yuyun)" who always cheered me on.
- 10. My classmates TBI A 2016 UIN SMH BANTEN thanks for the happiness, fullness for all time.

Finally, the writer knows that this research needs to be received in order to get good result. I hope this paper be useful for us. Aamiin...

Serang, September 28th, 2020

The Writer

ABSTRACT

Mia Kurniawati. 161230017. 2020. "An Analysis of Teachers' Online Corrective Feedback on Students' Writing Skill" (A Case study at MA Masyariqul Anwar Caringin). Undergraduate Research Paper, Departement of English Education, Faculty of Education and Teacher Training, University of Islamic State "Sultan Maulana Hasanuddin" Banten. Advisers: Dr. H. Abdul Mu'in, S.Ag, and Ila Amalia, M.Pd.

This study was carried out to analyze the online corrective feedback which was used by the teacher at MA Masyariqul Anwar Caringin. Specifically, it sought to identify what types of online corrective feedbacks are applied by teacher on students' writing skill and which type of corrective feedback is more effective for improving students' writing skills. The method was used in this study was qualitative method with case study approach. Nine students were selected as the participants. The data were gathered through reading document checklist of corrective feedback types, document analysis of writing aspect and questionnaire. The finding shows that teacher mostly used direct corrective feedback, the total was 31 (55.36 %) which was provided by the teachers. In addition the teacher also used unfocused corrective feedback was the opposite of focused corrective feedback, the total was 8 (14.28 %). Despite there were types of online corrective feedback, the researcher also summarized the effective types of online corrective feedback based on the questionnaire. It was direct corrective feedback and unfocused corrective feedback. Direct corrective feedback was the most understandable type in evaluating students' writing It was found 77.78 % (F=7) participants stated strongly agree. and direct corrective feedback was also the most effective corrective feedback the total was 66.67 % (F=6) participants stated strongly agree. It was also found that unfocused corrective feedback was also the effective corrective feedback, the total was 55.56 % (F=5) participants stated strongly agree. It was also the most understandable corrective feedback It was found 66.67 % (F=6) participants strongly agree that unfocused corrective feedback was understandable in evaluating their writing.

Keywords: Online Corrective Feedback, Writing Skill, the Effective Type of Online Corrective Feedback, Case Study

THE ADVISERS' APPROVAL

This is to certify that the undergraduate research paper of Mia Kurniawati entitled "An Analysis of Teachers' Online Corrective Feedback on students' Writing Skill" (A Case Study at MA Masyariqul Anwar Caringin) has been approved by the research paper advisers for further approval by the board examiners.

has been approved by the research paper advisers for further Approval by the Board of Examiners.

Serang, September 28th, 2020

Adviser II Adviser II

Dr. H. Abdul Mu'in, S.Ag, M.M NIP. 19710923 199903 1 003 <u>Ila Amalia, M. Pd</u> NIP. 19770731 200604 2 002

Acknowledge by:

The Head of English Department

Dr. H. Abdul Muin, S.Ag. M.M NIP. 19710923 199903 1 003

AN ANALYSIS OF TEACHERS' ONLINE CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK ON STUDENTS' WRITING SKILL

(A Case Study at MA Masyariqul Anwar Caringin)

By:

MIA KURNIAWATI SRN. 161230017

Under the Supervision of:

Adviser I Adviser II

Dr. H. Abdul Mu'in, S.Ag, M.M NIP. 19710923 199903 1 003 <u>Ila Amalia, M. Pd</u> NIP. 19770731 200604 2 002

Acknowledged by:

The Dean of Education

The Head of and Teacher Training Faculty English Education Department

<u>Dr. H. Subhan, M. Ed.</u> NIP.19680910 200003 1 001

Dr. H. Abdul Muin, S. Ag., M.M. NIP.19710923 199903 1 003

THE BOARD OF EXAMINERS' APPROVAL

That the undergraduate research paper of Mia Kurniawati has been approved by the Board of Examiners' as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Sarjana Degree in English Education Department.

Serang, September 28th 2020

The Board of Examiners:

Dr. Nana Jumhana, M.Ag	Chair person	
NIP. 191711029 199902 1 002	-	
<u>Siti Sa'diah, S.Pd, M.Hum</u> NIP. 19860829 201903 2 005	Secretary	
Dr. Hj. Fitri Hilmiyati, M.Ed NIP. 19700614 199703 2 001	Examiner I	
<u>As'ari, S.S., M.Si</u> NIP. 19741029 200003 1 002	Examiner II	
<u>Dr. H. Abdul Mu'in, S.Ag, M.M</u> NIP. 19710923 199903 1 003	Adviser I	
<u>Ila Amalia, M. Pd</u> NIP. 19770731 200604 2 002	Adviser II	

DEDICATION

This research paper is dedicated to my beloved parents,

Mr. Sudirman and Mrs. Unayah who never stopped praying for my
happiness, my success, always love, and support me in various way.

Thanks to my beloved oldest Sister, Mrs. Iik sulaelah, and my Old brother, Mr. Umin Hasnuri, and also my older sister, Mrs. Surnah who always support, pray for me, those who always hear all my stories.

Thank you so much for all my friends who have made this process more colorful and more enjoyable.

You are the best thing I've ever had.

MOTTO

"Life is a choice, you just have to choose between love what you do or do what you love"

A BRIEF BIOGRAPHY

The researcher, Mia Kurniawati was born in Serang, Banten on October 13^{th,} 1998. She is the fourth/the last child of Mr. Sudirman and Mrs. Unayah. She finished her elementary education at SD Negeri Neglasari in 2010, whereas her junior high education was finished at MTs Negeri 1 Anyer, but now changes as MTs Negeri 4 Kabupaten Serang in 2013. And then she finished her senior high school at SMA Negeri 1 Anyer in 2016. After graduated from senior high education, she continued her education by joining undergraduate program of English Education Department, Faculty of Education and teacher training, the State Islamic University Sultan Maulana Hasanuddin Banten.

During her time of studentship, she joined in external organization that is HMI (Himpunan Mahasiswa Islam) komisariat Tarbiyah and start joined in 2017, and she joined to the choir group at her faculty named GTC (Gita Tarbiyah choir).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEM	ENT OF ORIGINALITYi
ACKNOV	VLEDGEMENTii
ABSTRA	CTiv
THE ADV	VISERS' APPROVALLv
LEGALIZ	ZATION OF DEAN FACULTYvi
THE BOA	ARD OF EXAMINERS' APPROVALvii
DEDICA	ΓΙΟΝ viii
MOTTO.	ix
A BRIEF	BIOGRAPHYx
TABLE (OF CONTENTSxi
LIST OF	TABLESxiii
LIST OF	FIGURESxiv
LIST OF	APPENDICESxv
CHAPTE	R I INTRODUCTION1
A.	Background of the study1
B.	Limitation of the problem4
C.	The Research question
D.	The objectives of the research5
E.	Significance of the study5
F.	Previous study5
G.	Organization of writing10
CHAPTE	R II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE11
A.	The nature of writing11
	1. The definition of writing11
	2. The purpose of writing

	3	. Microskills and macroskills of writing	14
	4	. The process of writing	16
В	3 . C	Online corrective feedback	18
	1	. The definition of feedback	18
	2	. The definition of online corrective feedback	19
	3	. The Media in online corrective feedback	21
	4	. The benefits of online corrective feedback	24
	5	. Types of online corrective feedback	26
CHAPT	ER	III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	28
A	λ. Т	The research method	28
В	3. Т	The place and time of study	28
C	C. P	Participant	29
D). Т	echnique of data collecting	31
Е	Е. Т	The technique of analyzing data	32
CHAPT	ER	IV RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION	34
A	۸. F	Research finding	34
В	3. I	Discussion	66
CHAPT	ER	V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	80
A	۸. (Conclusion	80
В	3. S	uggestion	81
BIBLIO	GR	APHY	83
A DDFNI	DIC	TEC	97

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Questionnaire Blueprint	32
Table 4.1: Types of Online Corrective Feedback	50
Table 4.2: Writing Aspects	53
Table 4.3: The objectivity	54
Table 4.4: The assistance	55
Table 4.5: The Frequency	55
Table 4.6: Direct Corrective Feedback in Evaluating Students' Writing	
Text	56
Table 4.7: Direct Corrective Feedback in Improving Students' Writing	
Text	57
Table 4.8: Indirect Corrective Feedback in Evaluating Students' Writing	
Text	57
Table 4.9: Indirect Corrective Feedback in Improving Students' Writing	
Text	58
Table 4.10: Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback in Evaluating Students'	
Writing Text	59
Table 4.11: Metalinguistic Corrective Feedback in Improving Students'	
Writing Text	59
Table 4.12: Focused Corrective Feedback in Evaluating Students'	
Writing Text	60
Table 4.13: Focused Corrective Feedback in Improving Students' Writing	
Text	61
Table 4.14: Unfocused Corrective Feedback in Evaluating Students'	
Writing Text	62

Table 4.15: Unfocused Corrective Feedback in Improving	g Students'
Writing Text	62
Table 4.16: Reformulation Corrective Feedback in Evalua	ating Students'
Writing Text	63
Table 4.17: Reformulation Corrective Feedback in Impro	ving Students'
Writing Text	64

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1: Student 1 Worksheet	35
Figure 4.2: Student 2 Worksheet	37
Figure 4.3: Student 3 Worksheet	38
Figure 4.4: Student 4 Worksheet	49
Figure 4.5: Student 5 Worksheet	41
Figure 4.6: Student 6 Worksheet	43
Figure 4.7: Student 7 Worksheet	45
Figure 4.8: Student 8 Worksheet	47
Figure 4.9: Student 9 Worksheet	49
Figure 4.10: Types of Online Corrective Feedback	51

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Screenshot of Students' Worksheet	84
Appendix 2: The Analysis of Writing Aspects	91
Appendix 3: Questionnaire	101
Appendix 4: The Result of Questionnaire	107
Appendix 5: The Decision Letter from Dean of Education and Teacher	
Training Faculty	109