## CHAPTER IV

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

## A. The Description of Data

In this chapter, the researcher explains the result of this research. The research was conducted in SMAN 1 CIOMAS by using QuasiExperimental research. It was done at the tenth grade students of SMAN 1 CIOMAS namely X IPA 1 as experiment class and X IPA 2 as control class.

The goal of this research is to know the improvement of students' reading comprehension using Story Pyramid Strategy. Besides, the researcher gives the report of the data description and analyze the score of pre-test and post-test of the experiment class and control class. The test was a written test by using multiple choice and essay questions. There were fifteen questions related to narrative text which consist of ten multiple choice and five essay. Related to reading comprehension skills, each text was determined to all aspects of reading comprehension, such as : main idea, cohesive, vocabulary, important point, and specific information.

To find out the condition or the impact of the use of story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension narrative text it can be shows at the students and the teachers observation as follow:

## 1.) Observation of Teaching Learning Using Story Pyramid Strategy in Reading Comprehension

a. Teaching learning using story pyramid strategy in reading comprehension in the first meeting.

Teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting described: introduction, main activity, and closing. According to supardi, scoring data instruments is giving a value to answer the choices that have been filled in by the instrumentvalidation that the researcher has applied for instruments in the form of scale. ${ }^{1}$

## 1. Introduction

Teaching learning introduction using story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension in the first meeting included: orientation, apperception, motivation, giving reference, and pre-test.

## a. Orientation

Teaching learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part orientation by student observation sheet at table 4.1 below:

[^0]
## Table 4.1

## Orientation of Introduction Activity Teaching Learning

(Students Observation)


In the point orientation of introduction activity teaching learning (Students Observation). Teacher starts the learning process with greeting and praying at score 3 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. At score 4 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. At score 5 frequencies 30 , percentage $88 \%$. The whole mean is 4,8 good categories. Percentage all $96 \%$, high categories.

Teacher checking students attendance as a discipline at score 1 frequencies 5 , percentage $15 \%$. At score 2 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. At score 3 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. At score 4 frequencies 18 , percentage $53 \%$. At score 5 frequencies 7 , percentage $20 \%$. The whole mean is 3.6 medium categories. Percentage all $73 \%$, medium categories.

Teacher preparing the students physical and psychological to start teaching in the learning process at score 1 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 2, percentage $6 \%$, at score 3 frequencies 5 , percentage $15 \%$, at score 4 frequencies 8 , percentage $24 \%$. At score 5 frequencies 16 , percentage $47 \%$. The mean is $4 \%$ good categories. And the percentage is $80 \%$, high categories.

All orientation teaching and learning activities, mean 4,1 good categories. Average percentage $83 \%$, high categories. Summary
activity the use of story pyramid on students reading comprehension is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of using story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension by teacher observation shows at table 4.2 below:

Table 4.2
Orientation of Introduction Activity Teaching Learning
(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | and | scoreInter <br> percent <br> Pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Teacher starts the learning process <br> with greeting and praying. | 5 | Good |
| 2. | Teacher checking students attendance <br> as a discipline | 5 | High |
| 3. | Teacher preparing the students <br> physical and psychological to start <br> teaching in the learning process | 400 | Hood |

In the point orientation of introduction activity teachinglearning (teachers observation). Teacher starts the learning process with greeting and praying: score 5 , high categories. Percentage $100 \%$, high categories. Teacher checking students attendance as a discipline; score 5, good categories. Percentage $100 \%$, high categories. Teacher preparing the students physical and psychological to start teaching in the learning process; score 4 , good categories. Percentage $80 \%$, high categories. All orientation of introduction activity teaching-learning (teachers observation); score 4,6 good categories. Percentage 93.3 high categories.

## b. Apperception

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part apperception by students observation shows at table 4.3 below:

Table 4.3

## Apperception Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 4. | Teacher associate material/ themes/ learning activities that will be carried out with the experience of students with previous material/ themes/activities before. | $2$ <br> 6 | 1 <br> 3 | 4 $12$ | $12$ <br> 35 | $15$ $44$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { High } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. | Teacher remember the students essential material with asking | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $5$ <br> 15 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $12$ $35$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.91 \\ & 78.2 \end{aligned}$ | Good <br> High |
| 6. | Teacher asks question that are related to the lessons | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $15$ <br> 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,17 \\ & 83.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Good } \\ & \text { High } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.06 \\ & 81.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { High } \end{aligned}$ |

In the point apperception of teaching and learning activity (students observation). Teacher associate material/ themes/ learning activities that will be carried out with the experience of students with previous material/ themes/activities at score 1 frequencies 2, percentage $6 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 1, percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 12 , percentage $35 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 15 , percentage $44 \%$. The mean is 4,1 good categories. Percentage all $82 \%$, high categories.

Teacher remember the students essential material with asking score 2 frequencies 1, percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5, percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 12 , percentage $35 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 12, percentage $35 \%$. Mean is 3.91 good categories. Percentage all $78.2 \%$, high categories.

Teacher asks question that are related to the lessons score 3 frequencies 9 , percentage $26 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 15 , percentage $44 \%$. Mean is 4,17 , good categories. And percentage all $83.4 \%$, high categories.

All apperception activity teaching and learning, mean 4,06, good categories. Average percentage $81.2 \%$, high categories. Summary activity teaching learning speaking skill using hyponymy game is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of using story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension part apperception by teacher observation shows at the table 4.4 below:

## Table 4.4

## Apperception of Introduction Activity Teaching Learning

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement |  | score <br> and <br> percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |

In the point apperception activity teaching-learning introduction (teachers observation). Teacher associates material/ themes/ learning activities that will be carried out with the experience of students with previous material/ themes/activities before; score 4, good categories. Percentage 80\%; high categories. Teacher remember the students essential material with asking; Score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$; High categories. Teacher asks question that are related to the lessons; score 5, good categories. Percentage $100 \%$; high categories. The mean is 4.3, good categories. Percentage all 86.6 high categories.

## c. Motivation

Teaching learning introduction activity of using story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension narrative text motivation by students observation shows at the table 4.5 below:

Table 4.5

## Motivation Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

(Students Observation)

|  |  | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and <br> percent |  | Mean <br> of | Inter |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

In the point motivation of teaching and learning activity (students observation). Teacher provides an overview of the benefits of learning the lessons to be learned in daily life score 3 frequencies 8 , percentage $24 \%$. At score 4 frequencies 14 , percentage $41 \%$. At score 5 frequencies 12 percentage $35 \%$. The mean is 4.1 good categories. Percentage all $82 \%$ good categories.

Teacher delivers the learning objectives at the meeting score 1 frequencies 2, percentage $6 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$, score 3 frequencies 6, percentage $18 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 14 , percentage $41 \%$. The mean is 4 good categories. Percentage all $80 \%$ good categories

Teacher asks questions score 2 frequencies 6, percentage $18 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 4, percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 12 , percentage $35 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 12 , percentage $35 \%$. The mean is 3.9 good categories. And percentage all $78 \%$ high categories.

All motivation activity teaching and learning, mean 4 categories good. Average percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Summary activity of using story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension narrative text is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy motivation by teachers observation shows at the table 4.6 below:

Table 4.6
Motivation Activity Teaching Learning Introduction
(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7. | Teacher provides an overview of the benefits of <br> learning the lessons to be learned in daily life | 4 | Good |
| 8. | Teacher delivers the learning objectives at the <br> meeting | 50 | High |
| 9. | Teacher asks questions | 100 | High |

In the point motivation of teaching and learning activity (teachers observation). Teacher provides an overview of the benefits of learning the
lessons to be learned in daily life score 4 , percentage $80 \%$. Teacher delivers the learning objectives at the meeting score 5 , percentage $100 \%$. Teacher asks questions score 5 , percentage $100 \%$. The mean all motivation 4,6 good categories. The average percentage $93.3 \%$ high categories.

## d. Giving Reference

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part giving reference by student observation shows at table 4.7 below:

Table 4.7

## Giving Reference Activity Teaching Learning Introduction <br> (Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> of score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> Pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 10. | Teacher delivers the material subject that will be discussed at the meeting | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $7$ $20$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,2 \\ 84 \end{gathered}$ | Good <br> High |
| 11. | Teacher shows KI, KD, | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 3.9 | Good |


|  | Indicator and KKM in <br> the meeting | 6 | 12 | 20 | 32 | 38 | 78 | High |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. | Teacher distributes <br> group of study | 3 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 16 | 4.1 | Good |
| 13. | Teacher explains the <br> mechanism for <br> applying the learning <br> experience according <br> to the learning steps | 3 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 16 | 4 |
| Good |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In the point giving reference of teaching and learning activity (students observation). Teacher delivers the material subject that will be discussed at the meeting Score 2 frequencies 2, percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 7, percentage $20 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 7, percentage $20 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 18 , percentage $53 \%$. The mean 4,2 good categories. Percentage all $84 \%$ high categories.

Teacher shows KI, KD, Indicator and KKM in the meeting score 1 frequencies 2. Percentage $6 \%$ score 2 frequencies 4, percentage $12 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 4 . Percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 11 , percentage $32 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 16 . Percentage
$47 \%$. The mean 4.2 good categories. Percentage all $84 \%$ high categories.

Teacher distributes group of study score 1 frequencies 3 , percentage $9 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 3 , percentage $9 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 3. Percentage $9 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 11, percentage $32 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 16 , percentage $47 \%$. The mean 4,1 good categories. Percentage all $82 \%$ high categories.

Teacher explains the mechanism for applying the learning experience according to the learning steps Score 1 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 2. Percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5, Percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10, percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 16 , percentage $44 \%$. The mean is 4 good categories. Percentage all $80 \%$ high categories.

All giving reference activity teaching and learning, mean 4,25 categories good. Average percentage $85 \%$ high categories. Summary activity the use of story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension narrative text is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part giving reference by teachers observation shows at the table 4.8 below:

## Table 4.8

## Giving reference Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

(Teachers Observation)

| No |  | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Inter |  |  |  |
| Pretation |  |  |  |
| 10. | Teacher delivers the material subject that will be <br> discussed at the meeting | 4 | Good |
| 11. | Teacher shows KI, KD, Indicator and KKM in the <br> meeting | 4 | Good |
| 12. | Teacher distributes group of study | High |  |
| 13. | Teacher explains the mechanism for applying the <br> learning experience according to the learning steps | 4 | Good |

In the point giving reference activity teaching-learning introduction (teachers observation). Teacher delivers the material subject that will be discussed at the meeting score 4, good categories.

Percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Teacher shows KI, KD, Indicator and KKM in the meeting score 4 , good categories. Percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Teacher distributes group of study score 5, good categories. Percentage $100 \%$ high categories. Teacher explains the mechanism for applying the learning experience according to the learning steps score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The mean is 4.25 good categories. The mean percentage $85 \%$, high categories.

## e. Pre-Test

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part pre-test by student observation shows at the table 4.9 below:

Table 4.9

## Pre-test Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement |  | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> of score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very <br> Good |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 14. | Teacher | notifies | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 10 | 4 | Good |


|  | students to do pre-test | 0.0 | 6 | 20 | 44 | 29 | 80 | High |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. | Teacher delivers the <br> test-taking technique | 0 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 4,1 | Good |
| 16.0 | 0.0 | 38 | 24 | 38 | 82 | High |  |  |
| Teacher delivers the <br> time to do pre-test | 1 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 3.8 | Good |  |
| 17. | Teacher asks students <br> to do pre-test | 0 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 3.9 | Good |
| High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In the point shows the pre-test of teaching and learning activity (students observation). Teacher notifies students to do pre-test score 2 frequencies 2. Percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 7 , percentage $20 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 15, percentage $44 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. The mean 4 good categories. The percentage $80 \%$; high categories.

Teacher delivers the test taking technique score score 3 frequencies 13 , percentage $38 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 8 , percentage $24 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 13 , percentage $38 \%$. The mean 4,1 has good categories. Percentage $82 \%$; has high categories.

Teacher delivers the time to do pre-test Score 1 frequencies 1, percentage $3 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 9 , percentage $26 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 11 , percentage $32 \%$. The mean 3.8 . has good categories. Percentage 76\%; has high categories.

Teacher asks students to do pre-test score 2 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 8, percentage $24 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 7 , percentage $21 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 16 , percentage 47 \% The mean 3.9 has good categories. Percentage 78\%; has high categories.

All giving reference activity teaching and learning, mean 4 categories good. Average percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part pre-test by teachers observation shows at table 4.10 below:

Table 4.10

## Pre-test Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

## (Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> Pretation |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14. | Teacher notifies students to do pre-test | 4 | Good |
| 80 |  |  |  |
| High |  |  |  |
| 15. | Teacher delivers the test taking technique | 4 | Good |
| 16. | Teacher delivers the time to do pre-test | 80 | High |
| 17. | Teacher asks students to do pre-test | 100 | High |

In the point of pre-test activity teaching-learning introduction (teachers observation). Teacher notifies students to do pre-test score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$; high categories.

Teacher delivers the test-taking technique score 4, good categories. Percentage 80\%; high categories. Teacher delivers the testtaking technique score 4 , good categories. Percentage $80 \%$; high categories. Teacher asks students to do pre-test score 4, good categories. Percentage 80\%; high categories.

The mean of all giving reference activity teaching-learning is 4.25 good categories. The mean percentage $85,00 \%$; high categories.

## 2.) Teaching-Learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy

a. Teaching-Learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting

Teaching learning main activity the use of story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension in the first meeting included: observing, questioning, data collection/ exploring, Associating, communicating.

## 1. Core activities

Teaching learning core activities using story pyramid strategy on students reading comprehension in the first meeting included: observing, questioning, exploring, associating, communicating

## a. observation

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part observing by students observation shows at Table 4.11 below:

Table 4.11
Observing of Main Activity Teaching-Learning
(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 18. | Teacher give the students stimuli to focus on the topic | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 13 <br> 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | Good <br> High |
| 19. | Teacher explain the material about narrative text, generic structure, language feature of narrative text | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $2$ <br> 6 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,2 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | Good <br> High |
| 20. | Teacher explain about the procedure of story pyramid strategy. | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $14$ $47$ | 4 $80$ | Good <br> High |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.13 | Good |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80 | High |

In the the point Teacher give the students stimuli to focus on the topic (students observation) score 1 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5, percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 13, percentage $38 \%$. The mean is 3.8 good categories. Percentage 70\%, high categories.

Teacher explain material about narrative text, generic structure, language feature of narrative text score 2 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5, percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 11 , percentage $32 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 16 , percentage $47 \%$. The mean is 4,3 with good categories and the mean of percentage is $86, \%$ with high categories.

Teacher explained about the procedure of story pyramid strategy. students score 2 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 7, percentage $21 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 14 , percentage $47 \%$. The mean is 4 with good categories and the mean of percentage is $80 \%$ with high categories.

All main activity teaching and learning, mean 4.13 categories good. Average percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Summary activity using story pyramid strategy on students breading comprehension is good.

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part Observing teachers observation shows at table 4.12 below:

Table 4.12

## Observing of Main Activity Teaching Learning

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> Pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 18. | Teacher give the students stimuli to focus on the <br> topic | 4 | Good |
| 80 | High |  |  |
| 19. | Teacher explain the material about narrative text, <br> generic structure, language feature of narrative text | 4 | Good <br> 80 <br> High |
| 20. | Teacher explain about procedure of story pyramid <br> strategy. | 4 | Good |
|  |  | 40 | High |

In the point teacher give the students stimuli to focus on the topic (teacher observation) score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ good
categories. Teacher explain about the material about narrative text, generic structure, language feature of narrative text score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Teacher explained procedure of story pyramid strategy. score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The mean main activities of teaching and learning is 4 with high categories and the mean of percentage is $80 \%$ with good categories.

## 2. questioning

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part questioning by students observation shows at the table 4.13 below:

Table 4.13
Questioning of Main Activity Teaching-Learning
(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 21. | The teacher gives the opportunity <br> for | 0 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 3.8 | Good |


|  | students to asks about <br> social function, <br> language feature of <br> narrative text | 0.0 | 12 | 24 | 35 | 29 | 76 | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22.The teacher gives the <br> opportunity for <br> students to asks about <br> the use of story <br> pyramid strategy that is <br> not yet understood | 0.0 | 12 | 21 | 32 | 35 | 78 | High |  |

In the point The teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks about social function, language feature of narrative text (students observation) score 2 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 8 , percentage $24 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 12 , percentage $35 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 10 , percentage 29 . The main 3.8 good categories and percentage $76 \%$.

In the point teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks about the use of story pyramid strategy that is not yet understood score 2 frequencies 4, percentage 12\%. Score 3 frequencies 7, percentage
$21 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 11 , percentage $32 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 12 , percentage $35 \%$. The mean 3.9 good categories, percentage $78 \%$.

The mean is 3.85 good categories, and percentage all is $77 \%$ high categories. Summary activity the use of story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy by teacher observation shows at the table 4.14 below:

Table 4.14
Questioning of Main Activity Teaching Learning
(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> Pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 21. | The teacher gives the opportunity for students asks <br> about social function , language feature of narrative <br> text | 4 | Good |
| 22. | The teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks <br> about the use of story pyramid strategy that is not yet <br> understood | 30 | High |

In the point the teacher gives the opportunity for students asks about social function, language feature of narrative text score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks about the use of story pyramid strategy that is not yet understood score 3 medium categories, percentage $60 \%$. The mean is 3.5 good categories, the percentage is $70 \%$ good categories.

## 3. collecting data/ exploring

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part collecting data/ exploring by students observation shows at the Table 4.15 below:

Table 4.15
Collecting Data/ Exploring of Main Activity Teaching-Learning
(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 23. | Teacher divides | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 4,2 | Good |


|  | students into group | 0.0 | 9 | 15 | 20 | 59 | 84 | Good |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24. | Teacher gives the <br> example of narrative <br> text to the students | 0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 15 | 4,2 | Good |
| 25. | The students are asks to <br> analyzed social <br> function and language <br> feature on the text and <br> take the conclusion | 0.0 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 35 | 44 | 84 |
| Good |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In the point Teacher divides students into group (students observation) score 2 frequencies 2, percentage $9 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5 , percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 7, percentage $20 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 20, percentage $59 \%$. The mean is $4,2 \operatorname{good}$ categories, and percentage all is $84 \%$ high categories.

Teacher gives the example of narrative text to the students Score 2 frequencies 1, percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 6 , percentage $17 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 12 , percentage 35 . Score 5 frequencies 15 , percentage $44 \%$. The mean is 4,2 good categories, and percentage all is $84 \%$ good categories.

The students are asks to analyzed social function and language feature on the text and take the conclusion. Score 2 frequencies 1, percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5 , percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 12 , percentage $35 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 11 , percentage $32 \%$. The mean 3.5 is medium categories and percentage all is $70 \%$ is medium categories.

All main activity teaching and learning, mean 3.96 categories medium. Average percentage $79.3 \%$ medium categories. Summary activity the use of story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part collecting data by teachers observation shows at the table 4.16 below:

Table 4.16

## Collecting Data/ Exploring of Main Activity Teaching Learning

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretation |  |  |  |
| 23. | Teacher divides students into group | 4 | Good |


| 24. | Teacher gives the example of narrative text to the <br> students | 4 | Good <br> 80 <br> High |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 25. | The students are asks to analyzed social function and <br> language feature on the text and take the conclusion | 4 | Good |
| 80 | High |  |  |
|  | 4 | Good |  |
| 80 | High |  |  |

In the point Teacher divides students into group (teachers observation). score 4 , percentage $80 \%$. Teacher gives the example of narrative text to the students score 4 , percentage $80 \%$. The students are asks to analyzed social function and language feature on the text and take the conclusion score 4 , percentage $80 \%$. The mean main activities of teaching and learning is 4 with good categories, and the mean of percentage is $80,00 \%$ with high categories.

## 4. Associating

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part associating students observation shows at the table 4.17 below:

Table 4.17

## Associating of Main Activity Teaching-Learning

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 26. | Students analyze social functions and structure of text like character, setting, conflict and events on the text | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 15 44 | $4$ $80$ | Good <br> Good |

In the point Students analyze social functions and structure of text (students observation). Score 1 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 3, percentage 9\%. Score 3 frequencies 4, percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 15 , percentage $44 \%$. The mean is 4 good categories, and percentage all is $80 \%$ good categories.

All main activity teaching and learning, mean 4 categories good. Average percentage $80 \%$ good categories. Summary activity the use of story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part associating by teachers observation shows at the table 4.18 below:

Table 4.18
Associating of Main Activity Teaching Learning
(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> Pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26. | Students analyze social functions and structure of text <br> like character, setting, conflict and events on the text | 5 | Good |
| 100 | High |  |  |

In the point Students analyze social functions and structure of text like character, setting, conflict and events on the text (teachers observation) score 5 good categories, percentage $100 \%$ high categories. The mean is 5good categories, the percentage is $100 \%$ high categories.

## 5. communicating

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy by students observation shows at the table 4.19 below:

Table 4.19

## Communicating of main activity teaching-learning

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> of <br> score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> Pretat <br> ion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 27. | Students present their work in front of the class | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | 4 12 | 7 21 | 10 29 | 13 38 | 3.9 78 | Good <br> High |

In the table 4.19 shows the main activities of teaching and learning (students observation). Students present their work in front of the class. Score 2 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 7 , percentage $21 \%$, score 4 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 13 percentage $38 \%$. The mean is 3.9 good categories, and percentage all is $78 \%$ high categories.

All main activity teaching and learning, mean 3.9 categories good. Average percentage $78 \%$ high categories. Summary activity the use of story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part communicating by teachers observation shows at the table 4.20 below:

Table 4.20

## Communicating of main activity teaching learning

## (Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score and | Inter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percent | Pretation |  |  |
| 27. | Students present their work and read the <br> descriptive writing in front of the class | 4 | Good |

In the table 4.20 shows the main activities of teaching and learning (teachers observation). Students present their work in front of the class score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The mean is 4 good categories, the percentage is $80 \%$ high categories.

## 3.) Teaching learning last part of reading comprehension using story

 pyramid strategy in the first meeting.a. Teaching learning last part (closing) of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting.

## 1. Closing

Teaching learning last part activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting by student observation showed at the table 4.21 below:

Table 4.21

## Last part activity teaching-learning

(Students Observation)

|  |  | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and <br> percent |  |  | Mean <br> of | Inter |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |


|  | involving students <br> 29. |  | Teacher asks several <br> questions verbally to test <br> students insight into the <br> material that has been <br> delivered | 0.0 | 9 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

In the point students to do stimulate, to resume, reflect, and to find out the result to do reflection or to make summary by involving (students observation). Score 1 frequencies 1, percentage 3\%. Score 2 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 7 , percentage $21 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10, percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 13, percentage $38 \%$. The mean is 3.9 good categories, and percentage all is $78 \%$ high categories.

Teacher asks several questions verbally to test students insight into the material that has been delivered score 2 frequencies 3 , percentage $9 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 7 , percentage $21 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 9 , percentage $26 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 15 , percentage $44 \%$. The mean is 4 good categories, and percentage all is $80 \%$ high categories.

Increase students to be grateful for the power of God that is able to create living things and nature with various roles and benefits for life. Give awards (praise in oral and written) to groups or individuals who perform well score 1 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 3 , percentage $9 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 10, percentage $29 \%$. The mean is 3.7 good categories, and percentage all is $70 \%$ high categories.

All last part activity teaching and learning, mean 3.9 categories good. Average percentage $77 \%$ good categories. Summary activity teaching learning speaking skill using participation point system is good

Teaching-learning last part activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy by teacher observation shows at the table 4.22 below:

Table 4.22

## Last Part Activity Teaching Learning

## (Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 28. | Students to do stimulate, to resume, reflect, and to <br> find out the result to do reflection or to make <br> summary by involving students | 4 | Good |
| 29. | Teacher asks several questions verbally to test <br> students insight into the material that has been <br> delivered | 40 | High |
| 30. | Increase students to be grateful for the power of God <br> that is able to create living things and nature with <br> various roles and benefits for life. Give awards <br> (praise in oral and written) to groups or individuals <br> who perform well | 40 | Hood |

In the table 4.22 shows the last part activity of teaching and learning (teachers observation). Students to do stimulate, to resume, reflect, and to find out the result to do reflection or to make summary by involving
students score 4 , percentage $80 \%$. Teacher asks several questions verbally to test students insight into the material that has been delivered score 4, percentage $80 \%$. Increase students to be grateful for the power of God that is able to create living things and nature with various roles and benefits for life. Give awards (praise in oral and written) to groups or individuals who perform well score 4 , percentage $80 \%$. The mean is 4 good categories, the percentage is $80 \%$ high categories.
4.) All of the teaching learning activity reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting

All of the teaching learning activity reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting by students observation showed at table 4.23 below:

Table 4.23

## All of the teaching learning activity in the first meeting

(Students Observation)

| No | Element | Ideal <br> score | Average of <br> score result | Percentage |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I. Introduction |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Orientation | 5 | 4,1 | 83 | High |
| 2. | Apperception | 5 | 4,06 | 81.2 | High |


| 3. | motivation | 5 | 4 | 80 | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | Giving reference | 5 | 4,05 | 81 | High |
| 5. | Pre-test | 5 | 4 | 80 | High |
| II. Main |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Observing | 5 | 4,13 | 81 | High |
| 7. | Questioning | 5 | 3.85 | 77 | Good |
| 8. | Data collection | 5 | 3,96 | 79,3 | Good |
| 9. | Associating | 5 | 4 | 80 | High |
| 10. | Communicating | 5 | 3.9 | 78 | High |
| III. Last Part |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | Closing | 5 | 3.9 | 77 | High |
| Mean |  |  | 4 | 80 | High |

In the table 4.23 showed activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting showed: part introduction; orientation score average 4,1 percentage $83 \%$; high categories. Apperception score average 4,06 percentage $81.2 \%$; high categories. Motivation score average 4 percentage $80 \%$; high categories. Giving reference score average 4,05 percentage $81 \%$; high categories. Pre-test score average 4,25 percentage $85 \%$; high categories.

In the poin showed activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting showed part main: observing score average 4.13 percentage $83 \%$. Questioning score average 3.85 percentage $77 \%$; high categories. Data collection score average 3.96 percentage $79.3 \%$; high categories. Associating score average 4 percentage $80 \%$; high categories. Communicating score average 3.9 percentage $78 \%$; high categories.

In the point showed activity reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting showed: last part closing score 3.9 percentage $77.3 \%$; high categories. All activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy first meeting score average 4 percentage $80 \%$ high categories.

All of the teaching learning activity reading comprehension using story pyramid staretegy in the first meeting by teachers observation showed at the 4.24 below:

Table 4.24

## All of the teaching learning activity in the first meeting

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Element | Average of score result | Percentage | Categories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. | Introduction |  |  |  |
| 1. | Orientation | 4,6 | 93.3 | High |
| 2. | Apperception | 4.3 | 86.6 | High |
| 3. | Motivation | 4.6 | 93.3 | High |
| 4. | Giving reference | 4.25 | 85 | High |
| 5. | Pre-test | 4.25 | 85 | High |
| II. Main |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Observing | 4 | 80 | High |
| 7. | Questioning | 3.5 | 70 | High |
| 8. | Data collection | 4 | 80 | High |
| 9. | Associating | 5 | 100 | High |
| 10. | Communicating | 4 | 80 | High |
| III. Last Part |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | Closing | 4 | 80 | High |
| Mean |  | 4.22 | 84.9 | High |

In the table 4.24 showed activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting showed: part introduction; orientation score average 4,6 percentage 93.3\%; high categories. Apperception score average 4.3 percentage $86.6 \%$; high categories. Motivation score average 4,6; percentage 93.3\%; high categories. Giving reference score average 4.25 percentage 85\%; high categories. Pre-test score average 4.25 percentage $85 \%$; high categories.

In the point activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting showed: part main: observing score average 4.3 percentage $80 \%$. Questioning score average 3.5 percentage $70 \%$; medium categories. Data collection score average 4 percentage $80 \%$; high categories. Associating score average 5 percentage $100 \%$; high categories. Communicating score average 4 percentage $80 \%$; high categories.

In the point showed activity reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting showed: last part closing score 4 percentage $80 \%$; high categories. All activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy first meeting score average 4,22 percentage $84.9 \%$ high categories.
5.) Teaching learning using story pyramid strategy in reading comprehension
a. Teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting described: introduction, main activity, and closing.

## 1. Introduction

Teaching learning introduction using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting included: orientation, apperception, motivation, giving reference, and post-test

## a. Orientation

Teaching learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part orientation by student observation sheet at the table 4.25 below:

Table 4.25

## Orientation of Introduction Activity Teaching Learning

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 1. | Teacher starts the learning process with | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 32 | 4.9 | High |


|  | greeting and praying. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 3 | 34 | 98 | High |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Teacher checking <br> students attendance as a <br> discipline | 1 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 4.08 | Good |
| 3. | Teacher preparing the <br> students physical and <br> psychological to start <br> teaching in the learning <br> process | 0.0 | 3 | 29 | 26 | 41 | 80 | High |

In the point orientation of introduction activity teaching learning (Students Observation). Teacher starts the learning process with greeting and At score 3 frequencies 1, percentage 3\%. At score 4 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. At score 5 frequencies 32, percentage $94 \%$. The whole mean is 4.9 high categories. Percentage all $98 \%$ high categories.

Teacher checking students attendance as a discipline at score 1 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. At score 2 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. At score 3 frequencies 6 , percentage $18 \%$. At score 4 frequencies

9 , percentage $26 \%$. At score 5 frequencies 16 , percentage $47 \%$. The whole mean is 4 good categories. Percentage all $80 \%$, good categories.

Teacher preparing the students physical and psychological to start teaching in the learning process at score 3 frequencies 3 , percentage $9 \%$, at score 4 frequencies 7 , percentage $21 \%$, at score 5 frequencies 14 percentage $41 \%$. The mean is $4 \%$ good categories. And the percentage is $80 \%$, high categories.

All orientation teaching and learning activities, mean 4.3 good categories. Average percentage $86 \%$, good categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy by teacher observation shows at table below:

Table 4.26
Orientation of Introduction Activity Teaching Learning
(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Teacher starts the learning process with greeting and <br> praying. | 5 | Good |
| 2. | Teacher checking students attendance as a discipline | 5 | Good |
| 3. | Teacher preparing the students physical and <br> psychological to start teaching in the learning process | 400 | High |

In the point orientation of introduction activity teaching-learning (teachers observation). Teacher starts the learning process with greeting and praying: score 5, high categories. Percentage 100\%, high categories. Teacher checking students attendance as a discipline; score 5, good categories. Percentage $100 \%$, high categories.

Teacher preparing the students physical and psychological to start teaching in the learning process; score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$, high categories. All orientation of introduction activity teaching-learning (teachers observation); score 4,6 good categories. Percentage 93,3 high categories.

## b. Apperception

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part apperception by students observation shows at table below:

Table 4.27

## Apperception Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

 (Students Observation)| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very <br> Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 4. | Teacher associate material/ themes/ learning activities that will be carried out with | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | 3 9 | 7 21 | 24 71 | 4,6 92 | Good High |


|  | the experience of <br> students with previous <br> material/ <br> themes/activities <br> before. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | Teacher remember the <br> students essential <br> material with asking | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 24 | 74 | 96 | Good |
| 6. | Teacher asks question <br> that are related to the <br> lessons | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 28 | 4.8 | Good |

In the point apperception of teaching and learning activity (students observation). Teacher associate material/ themes/ learning activities that will be carried out with the experience of students with previous material/ themes/activities at score 3 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 7, percentage $21 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 24 , percentage $71 \%$. The mean is 4,6 good categories. Percentage all $92 \%$, good categories.

Teacher remember the students essential material with asking score 3 frequencies 1, percentage $3 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 8,
percentage $24 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 25 , percentage $74 \%$. Mean is 4.7 , good categories. Percentage all $94 \%$, medium categories.

Teacher asks question that are related to the lessons score 3 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 5 , percentage $15 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 28 , percentage $82 \%$. Mean is 4,8 good categories. And percentage all $96 \%$, high categories.

All apperception activity teaching and learning, mean 4,7 good categories. Average percentage $96 \%$, good categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part apperception by teacher observation shows at table below:

Table 4.28

## Apperception of Introduction Activity Teaching Learning

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | Teacher associate material/ themes/ learning activities <br> that will be carried out with the experience of | 5 | Good |


|  | students with previous material/ themes/activities <br> before. | 100 | High |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | Teacher remember the students essential material <br> with asking | 5 | Good |
| 6. | Teacher asks question that are related to the lessons | 400 | High |
|  | 80 | Hood |  |

In the point apperception activity teaching-learning introduction (teachers observation). Teacher associates material/ themes/ learning activities that will be carried out with the experience of students with previous material/ themes/activities before; score 5 good categories. Percentage $100 \%$; high categories.

Teacher remember the students essential material with asking; Score 5, good categories. Percentage 100\%; High categories. Teacher asks question that are related to the lessons; score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$; high categories. The mean is 4,6 good categories. Percentage all 93.3 high categories.

## c. Motivation

Teaching learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part motivation by students observation shows at table below:

Table 4.29
Motivation Activity Teaching Learning Introduction
(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 7. | Teacher provides an overview of the benefits of learning the lessons to be learned in daily life | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $6$ $18$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $17$ $50$ | $4$ <br> 80 | Good <br> Good |
| 8. | Teacher delivers the learning objectives at the meeting | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $1$ $3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $21$ $62$ | $4$ $80$ | Good <br> Good |
| 9. | Teacher asks questions | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 23 | 4.5 | High |
|  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18 | 15 | 68 | 90 | High |


|  | 4.3 | Good |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 83.3 | Good |  |

In the point motivation of teaching and learning activity (students observation). Teacher provides an overview of the benefits of learning the lessons to be learned in daily life score 2 frequencies 6 , percentage 18\%. score 3 frequencies 5, percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 6, percentage $18 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 17, percentage $50 \%$, The mean is 4 good categories. Percentage all $80 \%$ good categories.

Teacher delivers the learning objectives at the meeting score 2 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 6 , percentage $18 \%$, score 4 frequencies 9 , percentage $26 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 21 , percentage $62 \%$. The mean is 4 good categories. Percentage all $80 \%$ high categories.

Teacher asks questions score 3 frequencies 6 , percentage $18 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 5, percentage $15 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 23 , percentage $68 \%$. The mean is 4.5 high categories. And percentage all $90 \%$ medium categories.

All motivation activity teaching and learning, mean 4.3 categories good. Average percentage $83.3 \%$ good categories. Summary
activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part motivation by teachers observation shows at table below:

Table 4.30
Motivation Activity Teaching Learning Introduction
(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | Teacher provides an overview of the benefits of <br> learning the lessons to be learned in daily life | 4 | Good |
| 8. | Teacher delivers the learning objectives at the <br> meeting | 50 | High |
| 9. | Teacher asks questions | 100 | High |

In the point motivation of teaching and learning activity (teachers observation). Teacher provides an overview of the benefits of learning the lessons to be learned in daily life score 4, percentage $80 \%$. Teacher delivers the learning objectives at the meeting score 5, percentage $100 \%$. Teacher asks questions score 5 , percentage $100 \%$. The mean all motivation 4,6 good categories. The average percentage 93.3\% high categories.

## d. Giving Reference

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part giving reference by student observation shows at table below:

Table 4.31

## Giving Reference Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very <br> Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 10. | Teacher delivers the material subject that | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 25 | 4.7 | Good |


|  | will be discussed at the <br> meeting | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 24 | 74 | 94 | High |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.Teacher shows KI, KD, <br> Indicator and KKM in <br> the meeting <br> 12.Teacher distributes <br> group of study | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 16 | 4.1 | Good |  |
| 13.Teacher explains the <br> mechanism <br> applying the learning <br> experience according to <br> the learning steps | 1 | 4 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 44 | 78 | High |

In the point giving reference of teaching and learning activity (students observation). Teacher delivers the material subject that will be discussed at the meeting score 2 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 8, percentage $24 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 25 , percentage $74 \%$. The mean 4,7 good categories. Percentage all $94 \%$ high categories.

Teacher shows KI, KD, Indicator and KKM in the meeting at score 1 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$ score 2 frequencies 1 , Percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 11 , percentage $32 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 16 , percentage $47 \%$. The mean 4.1 good categories. Percentage all $82 \%$ medium categories.

Teacher distributes group of study score 2 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5. Percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 8, percentage $24 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 20, percentage $59 \%$. The mean 4,3 good categories. Percentage all $86 \%$ good categories.

Teacher explains the mechanism for applying the learning experience according to the learning steps score 1 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 4, percentage $12 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 6, percentage $18 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 8 , percentage $24 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 15. Percentage $44 \%$. The mean is 3.9 good categories. Percentage all 78\% good categories.

All giving reference activity teaching and learning, mean 4,25 categories good. Average percentage $85 \%$ high categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part giving reference by teachers observation shows at table below:

Table 4.32

## Giving reference Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 10. | Teacher delivers the material subject that will be <br> discussed at the meeting | 4 | Good |
| 80 |  |  |  |
| High |  |  |  |$|$| 11. | Teacher shows KI, KD, Indicator and KKM in the <br> meeting |
| :--- | :--- |
| 12. | Teacher distributes group of study <br> 80 <br> High |
| 13. | Teacher explains the mechanism for applying the <br> learning experience according to the learning steps |
| 400 | High |

In the point giving reference activity teaching-learning introduction (teachers observation). Teacher delivers the material subject that will be discussed at the meeting score 4 , good categories. Percentage 80\% high categories. Teacher shows KI, KD, Indicator and KKM in the meeting score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$ high categories.

Teacher distributes group of study score 5, good categories. Percentage $100 \%$ high categories. Teacher explains the mechanism for applying the learning experience according to the learning steps score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The mean is 4.25 good categories. The mean percentage $85 \%$, high categories.

## 6.) Teaching-Learning main activity of Reading Comprehension using Story Pyramid Strategy in the second meeting

Teaching learning main activity reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting included: observing, questioning, data collection/ exploring, Associating, communicating.
a. Teaching-Learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in second meeting.

## 1. Observing

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part observing by students observation shows at Table below:

Table 4.33

## Observing of Main Activity Teaching-Learning

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very <br> Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 14. | Teacher give the | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 21 | 4.5 | Good |
|  | students stimuli to focus on the topic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12 | 26 | 62 | 90 | High |
| 15. |  |  | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 17 | 4.3 | Goo |
|  | material more in detail with the example of narrative text. |  | 0.0 |  | 38 | 50 | 86 | High |


| 16. | Teacher asks the students to analyze the text that has been given | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | 1 3 | $6$ $17$ | $7$ $21$ | $20$ $59$ | 4.3 8.6 | Good <br> Good |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | Good |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 | Good |

In the point main activities of teaching and learning (students observation). Teacher give the students stimuli to focus on the topic score 3 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 9 , percentage $26 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 21 , percentage $62 \%$. The mean is 4.5 good categories. Percentage $90 \%$, medium categories.

Teacher explain the material more in detail with example score 3 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 13 , percentage $38 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 17 , percentage $50 \%$. The mean is 4,3 with good categories and the mean of percentage is $86 \%$ with high categories.

Teacher asks the students to observe the text that has been given score 2 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 6 , percentage $35 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 7 , percentage $21 \%$. Score 5
frequencies 20 , percentage $59 \%$. The mean is 4.3 with good categories and the mean of percentage is $8.6 \%$ with good categories.

All main activity teaching and learning, mean 4.3 categories good. Average percentage $87 \%$ good categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid is good.

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part Observing by teachers observation shows at below:

## Table 4.34

## Observing of Main Activity Teaching Learning

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score and <br> percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | Teacher give the students stimuli to focus on <br> the topic | 5 | good |
| High |  |  |  |
| 15. | Teacher explain the material more in detail <br> with example | 4 | 80 |
| 16. | Teacher asks the students to analyze the text <br> that has been given. | 4 | Hood |
| Hood <br> High |  |  |  |

In the point main activities of teaching and learning (teachers observation). Teacher give the students stimuli to focus on the topic score 5 good categories, percentage $100 \%$ medium categories. Teacher explain the material more in detail score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Teacher asks students to observe the text score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The mean main activities of teaching and learning is 4.3 with good categories and the mean of percentage is $86.6 \%$ with high categories.

## 2. questioning

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part questioning by students observation shows at Table below:

Table 4.35

## Questioning of Main Activity Teaching-Learning

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 17. | The teacher gives the | 0 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 19 | 4.3 | Good |


|  | opportunity for <br> students to asks about <br> social function, generic <br> structure and language <br> feature of text. | 0.0 | 3 | 15 | 26 | 56 | 86 | High |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.The teacher gives the <br> opportunity for <br> students to asks about <br> procedure of using <br> story pyramid strategy. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15 | 29 | 56 | 88 | High |  |

In the poin main activities of teaching and learning (students observation). The teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks about social function, generic structure and language feature of text score 2 frequencies 1, percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5, percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 9 , percentage $26 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 19 , percentage $56 \%$. The mean is 4.3 good categories, and percentage is 86 high categories. The teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks about procedure of using story pyramid strategy score 3 frequencies 5 , percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 9 , percentage
$26 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 19 , percentage $56 \%$. The mean 4.4 good categories and percentage 88 Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy teacher observation shows at table below:

Table 4.36

## Questioning of Main Activity Teaching Learning

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> Pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 17. | The teacher gives the opportunityfor students to asks <br> about social function, generic structure and language <br> feature of text. | 5 | Good |
| 18. | The teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks <br> about procedure of using story pyramid strategy. | 4 | High |

In the point main activities of teaching and learning (teachers observation). The teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks about social function, generic structure and language feature of text. Score 5 good categories, percentage $100 \%$ high categories. The teacher gives the opportunity for students to asks about procedure of using story pyramid strategy. Score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The mean is 4 good categories, the percentage is $80 \%$ high categories.

## 3. collecting data/ exploring

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part collecting data/ exploring by students observation shows at Table below:

Table 4.37
Collecting Data/ Exploring of Main Activity Teaching-Learning
(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean <br> of score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very <br> Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 19. | Teacher gives the | 0 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 13 | 4 | Good |


|  | example of narrative <br> text and explain them <br> by using story pyramid <br> strategy. | 0.0 | 12 | 15 | 35 | 38 | 80 | Good |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20 .$Teacher asks the <br> students to analyze the <br> generic structure and <br> language feature of <br> narrative text. | 6 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 3.9 | Good |  |
| 21.Teacher asks the <br> students to take the <br> conclusion from the <br> understanding they get <br> from analyzing <br> narrative text and <br> applying into story <br> pyramid strategy. | 0.0 | 12 | 15 | 23 | 50 | 82 | 44 | 78 |
| High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In the point teacher gives the example of narrative text and explain them by using story pyramid strategy score 2 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5 , percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 12 , percentage $35 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 13 , percentage
$38 \%$. The mean 4 good categories. Percentage all $80 \%$ good categories.

Teacher asks the students to analyze the generic structure and language feature of narrative text. Score 1 frequencies 2. Percentage $6 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 4, percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 9 , percentage $26 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 15 , percentage $44 \%$. The mean 3.9 medium categories. Percentage all $78 \%$ medium categories.

Teacher asks the students to take the conclusion from the understanding they get from analyzing narrative text and applying into story pyramid strategy. Score 2 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 5, percentage $15 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 8, percentage $24 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 17 , percentage $50 \%$. The mean 4,1 good categories. Percentage all $82 \%$ high categories.

All collecting data / exploring main activity teaching and learning, mean 4 categories good. Average percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part collecting data by teachers observation shows at table below:

## Table 4.38

## Collecting data / exploring main Activity Teaching Learning

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> Pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 19. | Teacher gives the example of narrative text and <br> explain them by using story pyramid strategy. | 4 | Good |
| 80 |  |  |  |
| High |  |  |  |
| 20. | Teacher asks the students to analyze the generic <br> structure and language feature of narrative text. | 5 | Good |
| 21. | Teacher asks the students to take the conclusion <br> from the understanding they get from analyzing <br> narrative text and applying into story pyramid <br> strategy. | 40 | High |

In the point teacher gives the example of narrative text and explain them by using story pyramid strategy score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Teacher asks the students to analyze the generic structure and language feature of narrative text score 5, good categories. Percentage $100 \%$ high categories. Teacher asks the
students to take the conclusion from the understanding they get from analyzing narrative text and applying into story pyramid strategy score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$ high categories.

The mean is 4.3 good categories. The mean percentage $86.6 \%$, high categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

## 4. associating

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part associating by students observation shows at Table below:

Table 4.39

## Associating of Main Activity Teaching-Learning

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement <br> percent | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and | Mean <br> of | Inter |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very <br> Good | score <br> and <br> percent | pretation |


|  | event on the text, <br> students asks to <br> describe it with story <br> pyramid strategy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

In the point main activities of teaching and learning (students observation). Students analyze social functions and structure of text like character, setting, conflict and the event on the text, students asks to describe it with story pyramid strategy score 2 frequencies 2, percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 6 , percentage $18 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 6 , percentage $18 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 20 , percentage $59 \%$. The mean is 4.2 good categories, and percentage all is $84 \%$ good categories.

Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid startegy part associating by teachers observation shows at table below:

Table 4.40

## Associating of Main Activity Teaching Learning

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22. | Students analyze social functions and <br> structure of text like character, setting, <br> conflict and the event on the text, students <br> asks to describe it with story pyramid <br> strategy | 4 | Good |

In the point main activities of teaching and learning (teachers observation). Students analyze social functions and structure of text like character, setting, conflict and the event on the text, students asks to describe it with story pyramid strategy score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The mean is 4 good categories, the percentage is $80 \%$ high categories.

## 5. communicating

Teaching-learning main activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part communicating by students observation shows at Table below:

Table 4.41

## Communicating of main activity teaching-learning

(Students Observation)

|  |  | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and <br> percent |  |  | Mean <br> of | Inter |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very <br> Good | score <br> and <br> percent | pretat <br> ion |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 23. | Students present their <br> work in front of the <br> class. | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 18 | 4.17 | Good |

In the point main activities of teaching and learning (students observation). Students present their work in front of the class score 1 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. score 2 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 7, percentage $21 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 7, percentage $21 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 18 percentage $53 \%$. The mean 4.13 good categories, and percentage all is $83 \%$ medium categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part communicating by teachers observation shows at table below:

## Table 4.42

## Communicating of main activity teaching learning

 (Teachers Observation)| No | Statement | score and <br> percent | Inter |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| pretation |  |  |  |$|$| Good |
| :--- |
| 23. |
| Students present their work in front <br> of the class |

In the point main activities of teaching and learning (teachers observation). Students present their work in front of the class without book score 4 good categories, percentage $80 \%$ high categories. The mean is 4 good categories, the percentage is $80 \%$ high categories.
7.) Teaching learning last part of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting.

Teaching learning last part activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting by student observation showed at table below:

## a. Closing

Teaching learning part closing activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting by student observation showed at table below

## Table 4.43

## Last part activity teaching-learning

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 24. | Students to do stimulate, to resume, reflect, and to find out the result to do reflection or to make summary by involving students | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | 1 <br> 3 | 8 <br> 23 | 6 <br> 18 | $19$ $56$ | 4.2 <br> 84 | Good <br> High |
| 25. | Teacher asks several questions verbally to test students insight into the material that | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 4 <br> 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 18 <br> 53 | 4.2 <br> 84 | Good <br> Good |


|  | has been delivered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26.Increase students to be <br> grateful for the power <br> of God that is able to <br> create living things and <br> nature with various <br> roles and benefits for <br> life. Give awards <br> (praise in oral and <br> written) to groups or <br> individuals <br> perform well. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41 | 59 | 90 | High |  |

In the point last part activity of teaching and learning (students observation). Students to do stimulate, to resume, reflect, and to find out the result to do reflection or to make summary by involving score 2 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 8 , percentage $23 \%$. Score 4 frequencies $6 \%$, percentage $18 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 19 , percentage $56 \%$. The mean is 4.2 good categories, and percentage all is $84 \%$ high categories.

Teacher asks several questions verbally to test students insight into the material that has been delivered score 2 frequencies 2 ,
percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 10 , percentage $29 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 18, percentage $53 \%$. The mean is 4.2 good categories, and percentage all is $84 \%$ high categories.

Increase students to be grateful for the power of God that is able to create living things and nature with various roles and benefits for life. Give awards (praise in oral and written) to groups or individuals who perform well score 4 frequencies 14 , percentage $41 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 20 percentage $59 \%$. The mean is 4.5 high categories, and percentage all is $90 \%$ high categories.

All last part activity teaching and learning, mean 4.3 categories good. Average percentage $86 \%$ high categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good

Teaching-learning last part activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy by teacher observation shows at table below:

Table 4.44

## Last Part Activity Teaching Learning

## (Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Pretation |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 24. | Students to do stimulate, to resume, reflect, and to <br> find out the result to do reflection or to make <br> summary by involving students | 4 | Good |
| 25. | Teacher asks several questions verbally to test <br> students insight into the material that has been <br> delivered | 40 | High |
| 26. | Increase students to be grateful for the power of God <br> that is able to create living things and nature with <br> various roles and benefits for life. Give awards <br> (praise in oral and written) to groups or individuals <br> who perform well | 80 | High |

In the point last part activity of teaching and learning (teachers
observation). Students to do stimulate, to resume, reflect, and to find
out the result to do reflection or to make summary by involving students score 4 , percentage $80 \%$.

Teacher asks several questions verbally to test students insight into the material that has been delivered score 4 , percentage $80 \%$.

Increase students to be grateful for the power of God that is able to create living things and nature with various roles and benefits for life. Give awards (praise in oral and written) to groups or individuals who perform well score 4, percentage $80 \%$.

The mean is 4 good categories, the percentage is $80 \%$ good categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

## b. Post-test

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part post-test by student observation shows at table below:

Table 4.45

## Post-test Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

(Students Observation)

| No | Statement | Criteria, Scores, Frequencies, and percent |  |  |  |  | Mean of score and percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Worse | Bad | Quite | Good | Very <br> Good |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| 27. | Teacher notifies students to do post-test | 3 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 4.2 | Good |
|  |  | 9 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 53 | 84 | High |
| 28. | Teacher delivers the test taking technique | 1 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 4.2 | Good |
|  |  | 3 | 6 | 12 | 26 | 53 | 84 | High |
| 29. | Teacher delivers the time to do post-test | 1 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 16 | 3.9 | Good |
|  |  | 3 | 6 | 35 | 9 | 47 | 78 | High |
| 30. | Teacher asks students to do post-test | 1 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 19 | 4.1 | Good |
|  |  | 3 | 12 | 9 | 21 | 56 | 82 | Good |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | Good |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | High |

In the point post-test of teaching and learning activity (students observation). Teacher notifies students to do pre-test score 1
frequencies 3 , percentage $9 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 4, percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 7, percentage $21 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 18 , percentage $53 \%$. The mean 4.2 good categories. The percentage $84 \%$; good categories.

Teacher gives the related topic score 1 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 4 , percentage $12 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 9, Percentage $26 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 18 , percentage $53 \%$. The mean 4,2 has good categories. Percentage $84 \%$; has high categories.

Teacher delivers the test-taking technique score 1 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. Score 2 frequencies 2 , percentage $6 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 12, percentage $35 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 3 , percentage $9 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 16 , percentage $47 \%$. The mean 3.9 has good categories. Percentage 78\%; has high categories.

Teacher asks students to do pre-test score 1 frequencies 1 , percentage $3 \%$. score 2 frequencies 4 , percentage $10 \%$. Score 3 frequencies 3, percentage $9 \%$. Score 4 frequencies 7, percentage $21 \%$. Score 5 frequencies 19 , percentage $56 \%$. The mean 4.1 has good categories. Percentage $82 \%$; has high categories.

All giving post-test activity, mean 4.1 categories good. Average percentage $82 \%$ good categories. Summary activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy is good.

Teaching-learning introduction activity of reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy part post-test by teachers observation shows at table below:

Table 4.46

## Pre-test Activity Teaching Learning Introduction

(Teachers Observation)

| No | Statement | score <br> and <br> percent | Inter <br> pretation |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 27. | Teacher notifies students to do post-test | 4 | Good |
| High |  |  |  |
| 28. | Teacher delivers the test-taking technique | 40 | Good <br> High |
| 29. | Teacher delivers the time to do post-test | 40 | Good |
|  |  | 80 | High |
| 30. | Teacher asks students to do post-test | 5 | Good |
|  |  | 4.25 | High |

In the point of post-test activity teaching-learning introduction (teachers observation). Teacher notifies students to do post-test score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$; high categories.

Teacher delivers the test-taking technique score 4, good categories. Percentage $80 \%$; high categories. Teacher delivers the testtaking technique score 4 , good categories. Percentage $80 \%$; high categories. Teacher asks students to do post-test score 4, good categories. Percentage 80\%; high categories.

The mean of all giving reference activity teaching-learning is 4.25 good categories. The mean percentage $85,00 \%$; high categories.
8.) All of the teaching learning activity reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting

All of the teaching learning activity reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting by students observation showed at table below:

Table 4.47

## All of the teaching learning activity in the second meeting

(Students Observation)

| No | Element | Ideal score | Average of score result | Percentage | Categories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IV. Introduction |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Orientation | 5 | 4,3 | 86 | High |
| 2. | Apperception | 5 | 4,7 | 94 | High |
| 3. | motivation | 5 | 4.3 | 83.3 | High |
| 4. | Giving reference | 5 | 4.25 | 85 | High |
| 5. | Post-test | 5 | 4.1 | 82 | High |
| V. Main |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | Observing | 5 | 4.3 | 87.3 | High |
| 7. | Questioning | 5 | 4.35 | 87 | Good |
| 8. | Data collection | 5 | 4 | 80 | High |
| 9. | Associating | 5 | 4.2 | 84 | High |
| 10. | Communicating | 5 | 4.17 | 83 | Good |
| VI. Last Part |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | Closing | 5 | 4.13 | 86 | High |
| Mean |  |  | 4.25 | 85.2 | High |

In the point activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting showed: part introduction; orientation score average 4.3 percentage $86 \%$; high categories. Apperception score average 4,7 percentage $94 \%$; high categories. Motivation score average 4.3 percentage $83.3 \%$; high categories. Giving reference score average 4.25percentage $85 \%$; high categories. Post-test score average 4.1 percentage $82 \%$; high categories.

In the point activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting showed: part main: observing score average 4.3 percentage $87.3 \%$. Questioning score average 4.35 percentage $87 \%$ high categories. Data collection score average 4 percentage $80 \%$; high categories. Associating score average 4.2 percentage $84 \%$ high categories. Communicating score average 4.17 percentage $83 \%$ high categories.

In the point activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting showed: last part closing score 4.33 percentage $86 \%$; medium categories. All activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy
in the second meeting score average 4.25 percentage $85 \%$ high categories.

All of the teaching learning activity reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting by teachers observation showed at table below:

Table 4.48
All of the teaching learning activity in the first meeting
(Teachers Observation)

| No | Element | Average <br> of score <br> result | Percentage | Categories |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IV. Introduction <br> V. |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Orientation | 4,6 | 93,3 | High |
| 2. | Apperception | 4.6 | 93.3 | High |
| 3. | Motivation | 4.6 | 93.3 | High |
| 4. | Giving reference | 4.25 | 85 | High |
| 5. | Post-test | 4.25 | 85 | High |
| VI. Main | 4.25 | 85 | High |  |
| 6. | Observing | 4.5 | 90 | High |
| 7. | Questioning |  |  |  |


| 8. | Data collection | 4.3 | 86.6 | High |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. | Associating | 4 | 80 | High |
| 10. | Communicating | 4 | 80 | High |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| VII.Last Part |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | Closing | 4 | 80 | High |
| Mean | 4.3 | 86 | High |  |

In the point activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the second meeting showed: part introduction; orientation score average 4,6 percentage $93,3 \%$; high categories. Apperception score average 4.6 percentage $93.3 \%$ high categories. Motivation score average 4,6 percentage $93.3 \%$ high categories. Giving reference score average 4.25 percentage $85 \%$ high categories. Post-test score average 4.25 percentage $85 \%$; high categories.

In the point activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy the second meeting showed: part main: observing score average 4.25 percentage $85 \%$. Questioning score average 4.5 percentage $90 \%$ high categories. Data collection score average 4.3 percentage $86.6 \%$ high categories. Associating score
average 4 percentage $80 \%$ high categories. Communicating score average 4 percentage $80 \%$ high categories.

In the point activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy in the first meeting showed: last part closing score 4 percentage $80 \%$; high categories. All activity teaching learning reading comprehension using story pyramid strategy second meeting score average 4.3 percentage $86 \%$; high categories.

To find out it, the researcher identified some result, they are: the scores of students before treatment (pre-test), the scores of students after treatment (post-test), the differences between pre-test and posttest scores of students and from the differences of students condition between the students who are taught by using story pyramid strategy in reading comprehension. The result of pre-test and post-test of experimental class and control class it can be seen in the table below:

## 1. Experimental Class

These are the scores of students pre-test and post-test in experiment class that would be described in tables as follow :

Table 4.49
The Score of Pre-Test and Post-Test in Experiment Class

| No | Name | Pre-Test | Post-Test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SAL | 75 | 85 |
| 2 | SN | 45 | 75 |
| 3 | TELA | 45 | 75 |
| 4 | ESH | 70 | 85 |
| 5 | F | 50 | 70 |
| 6 | MFDU | 70 | 75 |
| 7 | MR | 55 | 50 |
| 8 | EA | 50 | 75 |
| 9 | ZF | 65 | 80 |
| 10 | NCA | 65 | 90 |
| 11 | NM | 60 | 80 |
| 12 | LU | 60 | 80 |
| 13 | ML | 50 | 70 |
| 14 | IWS | 65 | 80 |
| 15 | IRP | 60 | 90 |
| 16 | NK | 60 | 75 |
| 17 | RR | 65 | 85 |
| 18 | DIM | 60 | 80 |
| 19 | HH | 60 | 80 |
| 20 | AA | 65 | 80 |
| 21 | FH | 55 | 80 |
| 22 | AS | 50 | 70 |
| 23 | ASDC | 50 | 60 |


| 24 | AAD | 50 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | AP | 60 | 75 |
| 26 | SG | 70 | 85 |
| 27 | AF | 60 | 80 |
| 28 | AS | 55 | 85 |
| 29 | NA | 60 | 75 |
| 30 | OR | 65 | 80 |
| 31 | AFN | 55 | 75 |
| 32 | CBW | 50 | 80 |
| 33 | $\sum$ | 55 | 75 |
| 34 | X | 58.67 | 77.20 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

The table above shows the students' scores of pre-test and posttest in experiment class. The scores show the students' reading comprehension in class X IPA 1 as experiment class mostly is less before giving treatment. It can be seen from the scores of pre-test, the highest score is 75 while the lowest score is 45 . Then the highest score of students' reading comprehension is enough while the lowest score of students' reading comprehension is low. Meanwhile, the students' scores of post-test mostly is good, the highest score is 90 while the lowest score is 50 , it can be known that there is an
improvement on the criteria of students' score that the highest score is very good and the lowest score is low.

Determine mean of pre-test and post-test of experimental class by formula:
$M_{1=\frac{\sum X_{1}}{N_{1}}}$
$M_{1}=\frac{1995}{34}$
$M_{l=} 58.67$
$M_{2=\frac{\sum X_{2}}{N_{2}}}$
$M_{2=} \frac{2625}{34}$
$M_{2}=77.20$

M1 : Mean of pre-test
M2 : Mean of Post-test
$\sum \quad:$ Total Score
$\mathbf{N} \quad:$ Number of sample
The researcher described the students' score of pre-test and posttest of experimental class by the graphic as follow :

## Graphic 4.49

## The score Pre-test and Post-test of Experimental Class



The graphic above showed the comparison between score of pre-test and post-test at experimental class. Based on the graphic above, it can be seen the result of pre-test is lower than post-test, it means students' reading comprehension narrative text is low. The score of post-test is better than score of pre-test. It means there is significance effect in the score of pre-test and post-test.

## 2. Control Class

These are the scores of students pre-test and post-test in control class that would be described in tables as follow :

Table 4.50

The Score of Pre-Test and Post-Test in Control Class

| No | Name | Pre-Test | Post-Test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | FH | 60 | 80 |
| 2 | SS | 65 | 40 |
| 3 | AM | 70 | 70 |
| 4 | RP | 40 | 50 |
| 5 | A | 50 | 70 |
| 6 | MM | 55 | 60 |
| 7 | AF | 65 | 70 |
| 8 | B | 50 | 65 |
| 9 | N | 50 | 55 |
| 10 | PA | 45 | 60 |
| 11 | MAI | 40 | 50 |
| 12 | PP | 40 | 65 |
| 13 | LAM | 60 | 70 |
| 14 | JA | 65 | 70 |
| 15 | AW | 65 | 65 |
| 16 | SAA | 50 | 70 |
| 17 | NIR | 70 | 70 |


| 18 | DU | 55 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | NW | 65 | 70 |
| 20 | DAG | 60 | 70 |
| 21 | NU | 50 | 60 |
| 22 | AR | 50 | 55 |
| 23 | I | 50 | 70 |
| 24 | AR | 65 | 70 |
| 25 | M | 70 | 70 |
| 26 | RS | 55 | 70 |
| 27 | MR | 45 | 50 |
| 28 | R | 50 | 65 |
| 29 | N | 60 | 70 |
| 30 | AF | 50 | 60 |
| 31 | AF | 50 | 60 |
| 32 | AM | 60 | 60 |
| 33 | APA | 45 | 65 |
| 34 | SS | 65 | 65 |
|  | $\sum$ | 1885 | 2165 |
|  | X | 55.44 | 63.67 |

The table above shows the students' scores of pre-test and posttest in control class. The scores show the students' reading comprehension in class X IPA 2 as control class mostly is less before giving treatment. It can be seen from the scores of pre-test, the highest score is 70 while the lowest score is 40 . Then the highest score of students' reading comprehension is enough while the lowest score of students' reading comprehension is very low. Meanwhile, the students' scores of post-test mostly is good, the highest score is 80 while the lowest score is 40 , it can be known that there is little improvement on the criteria of students' scores that the highest score is good and the lowest score is very low.

Determine mean of pre-test and post-test of control class by formula:

$$
M_{1=\frac{\sum X_{1}}{N_{1}}}
$$

$M_{1}=\frac{1885}{34}$
$M_{l=}=55.44$
$M_{2=\frac{\sum X_{2}}{N_{2}}}$
$M_{2}=\frac{2165}{34}$

$$
M_{2}=63.67
$$

| M1 | : Mean of pre-test |
| :--- | :--- |
| M2 | : Mean of Post-test |
| $\sum$ | : Total Score |
| N | : Number of sample |

The researcher described the students' score of pre-test and post-test of control class by the graphic as follow :

## Graphic 4.50

The score Pre-test and Post-test of Control class


The graphic above showed the comparison between score of pre-test and post-test at control class. Based on the graphic above, the students' reading comprehension narrative text is low. It can be seen
on the score of pre-test and there is no different significant in the score of pre-test and post-test.

## B. Data Analysis

After getting the data from pre-test and post-test score of two classes, the researcher analyzed it by using t-test formula with the degree of significant $5 \%$ and $1 \%$, the writer used step as follows:

Table 3

The Score of Frequency Distribution

| No | $\mathbf{x 1}$ | $\mathbf{x} 2$ | $\mathbf{X 1}$ | $\mathbf{X 2}$ | $\mathbf{X 1}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{X 2}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 85 | 80 | 7.8 | 16.33 | 60.84 | 266.66 |
| 2. | 75 | 40 | -2.2 | -23.67 | 4.84 | 560.26 |
| 3. | 75 | 70 | -2.2 | 6.33 | 4.84 | 40.06 |
| 4. | 85 | 50 | 7.8 | -13.67 | 60.84 | 186.86 |
| 5. | 70 | 70 | -7.2 | 6.33 | 51.84 | 40.06 |
| 6. | 75 | 60 | -2.2 | -3.67 | 4.84 | 13.46 |
| 7. | 50 | 70 | -27.2 | 6.33 | 739.84 | 40.06 |
| 8. | 75 | 65 | -2.2 | 1.33 | 4.84 | 1.76 |
| 9. | 80 | 55 | 2.8 | -8.67 | 4.84 | 75.16 |
| 10. | 90 | 60 | 12.8 | -3.67 | 163.84 | 13.46 |


| 11. | 80 | 50 | 2.8 | -13.67 | 7.84 | 186.86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. | 80 | 60 | 2.8 | -3.67 | 7.84 | 13.46 |
| 13. | 70 | 70 | -7.2 | 6.33 | 51.84 | 40.06 |
| 14. | 80 | 70 | 2.8 | 6.33 | 4.84 | 40.06 |
| 15. | 90 | 65 | 12.8 | 1.33 | 163.84 | 1.76 |
| 16. | 75 | 70 | -2.2 | 6.33 | 4.84 | 40.06 |
| 17. | 85 | 70 | 7.8 | 6.33 | 60.84 | 40.06 |
| 18. | 80 | 60 | 2.8 | -3.67 | 7.84 | 13.46 |
| 19. | 80 | 70 | 2.8 | 6.33 | 7.84 | 40.06 |
| 20. | 75 | 70 | -2.8 | 6.33 | 4.84 | 40.06 |
| 21. | 80 | 60 | 2.8 | -3.67 | 7.84 | 13.46 |
| 22. | 70 | 55 | -7.2 | -8.67 | 51.84 | 75.16 |
| 23. | 60 | 70 | -17.2 | 6.33 | 295.84 | 40.06 |
| 24. | 75 | 70 | -2.2 | 6.33 | 4.84 | 40.06 |
| 25. | 75 | 70 | -2.2 | 6.33 | 4.84 | 40.06 |
| 26 | 85 | 70 | 7.8 | 6.33 | 60.84 | 40.06 |
| 27. | 80 | 50 | 2.8 | -13.67 | 7.84 | 186.86 |
| 28. | 85 | 65 | 7.8 | 1.33 | 60.84 | 1.76 |
| 29. | 75 | 70 | -2.2 | 6.33 | 4.84 | 40.06 |
| 30. | 80 | 60 | 2.8 | -3.67 | 7.84 | 13.46 |


| 31. | 75 | 60 | -2.2 | -3.67 | 4.84 | 13.46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32. | 80 | 60 | 2.8 | -3.67 | 7.84 | 13.46 |
| 33. | 75 | 65 | -2.2 | 1.33 | 4.84 | 1.76 |
| 34. | 75 | 65 | -2.2 | 1.33 | 4.84 | 1.76 |
| $\sum$ | $\mathbf{2 6 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 5 3 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 5 . 1 4}$ |

Note :
$\mathbf{x 1}=$ Score Post-Test (Experiment Class) $\mathbf{X 1}=\mathbf{x 1} \mathbf{- M 1}$
$\mathbf{x 2}=$ Score Post-Test (Control Class) $\quad \mathbf{X 2}=\mathbf{x} \mathbf{2}-\mathbf{M} 2$
$\mathbf{X 1}{ }^{1}=$ Squared value of X1 $\quad \mathbf{X 2}^{2}=$ Squared X2

From the table above, the writer got the data $\sum \mathrm{X} 1=2625$, $\sum \mathrm{X} 2=2165, \sum \mathrm{X}_{1}{ }^{2}=1953.56$, and $\sum \mathrm{X}_{2}{ }^{2}=2215.14$ After getting the data from pre-test and post-test, the researcher analyzed it by using statistic calculation of $t$-test formula with degree of significance $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ the formula as follow:

1. Determine mean of variable X 1 and X 2

| Variable X1 | Variable X2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{X} 1}{\overline{\mathrm{~N}_{1}}}$ | $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\sum \mathrm{X} 2$ |  |
| 2625 | $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  |
| 2165 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
\overline{34} & \overline{34} \\
=77.20 & =63.67
\end{array}
$$

2. Determine t -test

$$
\begin{gathered}
t=\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum x_{1}^{2}+\sum x_{2}^{2}}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2}\right)\left(\frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{1} \cdot N_{2}}\right)}} \\
t=\frac{77.20-63.67}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{1953.56+2215.41}{34+34-2}\right)\left(\frac{34+34}{34.34}\right)}} \\
=\frac{13.53}{\sqrt{(63.16)(0.05)}} \\
=\frac{13.53}{\sqrt{3.15}} \\
=\frac{13.53}{1.77} \\
=7.64
\end{gathered}
$$

From the result of the calculation above, it is obtained that the value of $t_{0}(t$ observation $)$ is 7,64. After found the data, the researcher compare it with $t_{t}(t$ table $)$ both in degree significant $5 \%$ and $1 \%$.
3. Degree of Freedom

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Df} \quad & =(\mathrm{N} 1+\mathrm{N} 2)-2 \\
& =(34+34)-2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=66
$$

In degree of significance $5 \%$ from $66 t_{t}=1.66$ and in degree of significance $1 \%$ from $66 t_{t}=2.38$.

Based on the result statistic calculation, it is obtained that the score of $t_{o}$ is $=7.64>t_{t}=1.66$ in degree of significance $5 \%$. The score of $t_{0}=7.64>t_{t}=2.38$ in degree of significance $1 \%$. To prove the hypothesis, the data obtained from the experimental class is calculated by using t-test formula with assumption as follow:

If $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observation }}>\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ : The alternative hypothesis is accepted. It means there is a significant influence of using story pyramid strategy on students' reading comprehension.

If $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observation }}<\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ : The alternative hypothesis is rejected. It means there is no significant influence of using story pyramid strategy on students' reading comprehension.

## C. Interpretation of the Data

The analysis is aimed to know the use of story pyramid startegy on students' reading comprehension narrative text. After analyzing the pretest and post-test from two classes, experimental class and control class, the researcher got the data of pre-test and post-test score. In the
experimental class, the highest score in pre-test is 75 and the lowest score is 40 . The highest score in post-test is 90 and the lowest score is 50 . The mean of pre-test score obtained by students in this class is 58.67 and the mean of post-test score is 77.20 The mean of pre-test and post-test score have good enough improvement it can be seen $77.20>58.67$ The improvement caused by the experimental class learns reading narrative text by using story pyramid strategy after pre-test.

Before deciding the result of hypothesis, the researcher proposes the interpretation with procedures as follows:
a. $\mathrm{Ha}=\mathrm{t}_{\text {observation }}>\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$. It means there is influence of story pyramid strategy on students' reading comprehension narrative text.
b. $H o=t_{\text {observation }}<t_{\text {table }}$. It means there is no influence of story pyramid strategy on students' reading comprehension narrative text.

According to the data, the value of $t_{\text {observation }}$ is bigger than $t_{\text {table }}$. $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observation }}=7.46>\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}=1.66(5 \%)$ or $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{observation}}=7.46>\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}=2,38$ ( $1 \%$ ), so $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is rejected and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is accepted.

From the result above, the researcher gives conclusion that there is the influence of using story pyramid strategy on students' reading
comprehension narrative text. It can be seen that the students get good or better scores use story pyramid strategy. This could be seen after comparing the score of pre-test (before by using story pyramid strategy) and post-test (after using story pyramid strategy).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Supardi, Statistik Penelitian Pendidikan, p. 14

