## CHAPTER III

## METHOD OF THE RESEARCH

## A. The Method of Research

In this research, the writer will use Experimental research. When hearing the term experimental designs, most of us think back to school experiments in science. Experimental research in the social sciences follows the same basic pattern as those (natural) science experiments. Experiment are carried out in order to explore the strength of relationship between variable. A variable as the term is self suggest, is anything, which does not remain constant.

According to Muijs defines that "Experimental research is a test under controlled conditions that is made to demonstrate a known truth or examine the validity of a hypothesis." ${ }^{1}$ Nunan stated that "Experiment is a procedure for testing an hypotesis by setting up a situation in which the strenght of the relationship

[^0]between variable can be tested. ${ }^{, 2}$ It means that experimental is a research method that test the hypothesis.

In this research the writer uses quasi-experimental, because the aim of the method is to find the effectiveness of a method. So there will be two classes which are equal in their ability. Sample decision is conducted do not have randomly and the result is decided from pre test and post test from both control and experimental class. In order the writer want to know further the influence of the effectiveness of using Stand Up Comedy in speaking ability. Besides that, to get the data the writer will use pre-test and post-test toward the subject of the research.

## B. Place and Time

In this research activity, place is needed as location of research to get appropriate. The writer take place for at the Second grade of MA Al-Ishlah Kananga. Later the writer must determine how long the research will take the time it depends on

[^1]the research problem. The writer will be starting the experiment on October 2018 until finish.

## C. Population and Sample

## 1. Population

According to Nunan says that "Population as the set of all possible data on the observations recorded by a researcher. In other word population is all the cases, situation, or individuals who shares one or more characteristic." ${ }^{3}$ The population of this research is the Second grade of MA AlIshlah Kananga, it consist of 240 students.

## 2. Sample

Sample is important because in almost cases, it is not practical to study all the members of population. Nunan state that "Sample is subset of individual or cases from within population ${ }^{" 4}$. The writer use two classes, the first is as Experimental Class and second is as Control Class. The writer take the subject as the sample in this as many as 50 students,

[^2]25 students of experimental class, and 25 students of class control.

## D. The Research Instrument

Reserch instrument is for facilitation that use by researcher to collect the data. Resercher uses test to know the students speaking ability. The instrument is a process of selecting or developing device and method appropriate to give evaluation and getting the data.

To know the influence of Stand Up Comedy in enhancing students speaking skill, the writer gives the speaking test to the students. With this test the writer would like to gives the score of vocabulary, grammar and comprehension on speaking.

A study using Quantitative method usually processed by systematically manipulating its specific variable to test the prediction made by theory informing the study. In this research, the writer gives two kind of test, there are as follows:

Pre-test : The test that is given to both of control class before giving treatment non Stand-up Comedy as a technique and
experiment class before given treatment with Stand Stand-up Comedyas a technique.

Post-test : The test that is given to both of control class after given the treatment non Stand-up Comedy as technique and experiment class after given treatment with Stand-up Comedyas a technique.

## E. The Technique Data Collecting

Collecting data is an important thing in this research that can be determined. The technique data collecting used in this research are:

1. Test

Test concists of some question that related to the material, to get the data improvisation of the students, also diagnostic test can be used expose learner difficulties, gaps in their knowledge and skill deficiencies. In this research, the writer will take pre-test and post-test. They are follow:
a. Pre-test

The pre-test for the students is carried out to get their score. The pre-test will conduct in the first meeting in
order to know basic of students speaking comprehension. The writer give several dialogues, like dialogue which have several expression dialogues. The writer analyze the vocabulary, grammar and comprehension all of students
b. Try out

The writer begins to teach procedure text by using Stand-up Comedyto experiment class and without Stand-up Comedyto control class.
c. Post-test

The Post-test will give after treathment. Post-test is given by asking students to present the procedure text orally one by one on front of the class.

Concerning with the validity of the test, Hughes proposed criteria of how to measure oral test, the description likely mention in the following the table: ${ }^{5}$

[^3]Table 3.1 : Scores Criteria
(Adapted from Techniques in Testing for Languange Teacher and Modified by the Writer)

| Weighting Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proficiency <br> Description | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | (A) |
| Accent | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Grammar | 6 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 30 | 36 |  |
| Vocabulary | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 24 |  |
| Fluency | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |  |
| Comprehension | 4 | 8 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 23 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ |  |


| Level | 1. Accent |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Score | Criteria |  |
| 1 | 0 | Pronounciation frequently unintelligible |  |
| 2 | 1 | Frequens gross errors and very heavy accent can make <br> understanding difficult, require frequent repetition. |  |
| 3 | 2 | Foreign accent requires concentrated listening and <br> mispronounciations lead to occasional misunderstanding and <br> apparent errors in grammar and vocabulary. |  |
| 4 | 2 | Marked foreign accent and occasional mispronounciation which <br> do not interfere in grammar or vocabulary. |  |
| 5 | 3 | No conspicuous mispronounciation, but would not be taken for <br> native speaker. |  |
| 6 | 4 | Native pronounciation, which no trace of foreign accent. |  |


|  | 2. Grammar |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Level | Score | Criteria |  |
| 1 | 6 | Grammar almost entirely in appropriate or innacurate <br> phrase. |  |
| 2 | 12 | Constant errors showing control of very few major <br> patterns and frequently preventing communication. |  |
| 3 | 18 | Frequent errors showing major pattern uncontrolled <br> and causing occasional irritation and <br> misunderstanding. |  |
| 4 | 24 | Occasional errors showing imperfect control of some <br> patterns, but no weakness that causes <br> misunderstanding. |  |
| 5 | 30 | Few errors, with no patterns of failure. <br> 6 36 |  | | No more than two errors doing the interview. |
| :--- |


| Level | 3. Vocabulary |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Score | Criteria |
| 1 | 4 | Vocabulary inadequate for even the simplest <br> conversation. |
| 2 | 8 | Vocabulary limited to basic personal and survival <br> areas. |
| 3 | 12 | Choice of words sometime inaccurate, limitation of <br> vocabulary prevent discussion of some common and <br> social topics. |


| 4 | 16 | Professional vocabulary adequate to discuss speacial <br> inteerest, general vocabulary permits discussion of <br> any non-technical subject with some circumlocution. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 20 | Professional vocabulary board and precise; general <br> vocabulary adequate to cope with complex practical <br> problem and varied social situation. |
| 6 | 24 | Vocabulay apparently as accurate and extensive as <br> that an educated native speakers. |


| Level | 4. Fluency |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Score | Criteria |
| 1 | 2 | Speech is so halting and fragmentary that <br> conversation is virtually imposible. |
| 2 | 4 | Speech is very slow an uneven except for short and <br> routine sentence. |
| 3 | 6 | Speech is frequently hesitant and jerky; sentences <br> may be left uncompleted. |
| 4 | 8 | Speech is occasionally hesitant, with some uneveness <br> caused by rephrasing and groping for words. |
| 5 | 10 | Speech is effortless and smooth, but percebtibly <br> nonnative in speech and evennnes. |
| 6 | 12 | Speech on all professional and general topics as <br> effortless and smooth as a native speakers. |


| Level | 5. Comprehension |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Score | Criteria |
| 1 | 4 | Understand too little for the simplest type conversation. |
| 2 | 8 | Understand only show, very simple speech on common <br> social and touristic topics; requires constant repetition and <br> rephrasing. |
| 3 | 12 | Understand careful, somewhat simplified speech when <br> enganged in a dialogue, but may require considerable <br> repetition and rephrasing. |
| 4 | 15 | Understand quite well normal educated speech when <br> engaged in a dialogue, but requires occasional repetition or <br> rephrasing. |
| 5 | Understand everything in normal educated conversation, <br> except for very colloquial or low-frequency items or <br> exceptyonally rapid or slurred speech. |  |
| 6 | 23 | Understands everything in both formal and colloquial <br> speech to be excepted of an educated of an educated native <br> speaker. |

## F. The Technique Data Analyzing

To analyze the data, the target of this research is the comparison between variable X and Y . The researcher takes technique of comparison bivariate because have two variable, and uses t-test for analyze data. Before using t-test the researcher to
do quantification of data fron result of the test is qualified. The researcher uses step as follow:

1. The result of post-test in experiment class is named variable $\mathrm{X}_{1}$
2. The result of post-test in control class is named variable $X_{2}$

After getting data from the Pre-test and Post-test, they are analyzed and processed by using statistic calculation of the t-test formula with significant degree $5 \%$ and $1 \%$. The formula as follows: ${ }^{6}$
a. Determining mean $\mathrm{X}_{1}$, with formula:
$\mathrm{M}_{1}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{X}_{1}}{\mathrm{~N}}$
b. Determining mean of variable $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ :
$\mathrm{M}_{2}=\frac{\sum \mathrm{X}_{2}}{\mathrm{~N}}$
c. Determining the deviation score variable $X_{1}$ :

$$
\mathrm{X}_{1}=\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{M}_{1}
$$

[^4]d. Determining the deviation score variable $X_{2}$ :
$$
X_{2}=X_{2}-M_{2}
$$
e. The conclusion from this research can be seen from the result of the $\mathrm{t}^{0}$ :
$$
t=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{\left(\sum x 1^{2}+\sum y 2^{2}\right)\left(N_{2}+N_{2}\right)}{\left(N_{1}+N_{2}-2\right)\left(N_{1} \cdot N_{2}\right)}}}
$$
$M_{1} \quad:$ Gained Score of Experimental class
$\mathrm{M}_{2}$ : GainedScore of Control Class
$\sum \mathrm{x} 1^{1}$ : Sum of Square Deviation Score in Experiment Class
$\sum \mathrm{x} 2^{2}$ : Sum of Square Deviation Score in Control Class
$N_{1} \quad:$ Sample of Students of Experiment Class
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \quad:$ Sample of Students of Control Class
df $\quad:$ Degree of freedom
df $\quad: \mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}$
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