## CHAPTER IV

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

## A. Description of Data

In this chapter the writer would like to present the description of data obtained. As the writer explained in the previous chapter that the population in this research were 175 students of first grade in MAN Cikeusal and the sample were 25 students of X MIA as experimental class and 25 students of X IIS as control class.

In this research, the writer did an analyze of quantitative data. The data is obtained by giving test to the experimental class and control class. The test divided two types are pre-test and post-test. Pre-test was given before treatment and post-test was given after treatment. On the test, students should pronounce in front of the class according the instructions or questions by the writer.

The writer identified some result to find out the effect of Quantum teaching method in improving students' speaking skill. They are the score of students before treatment, the score students after treatment and the differences between pre-test and post-test score of students. The writer describes the data in experimental and control class as below:

## 1. Experimental Class

The researcher describes the result of pre-test in the experimental class by the table as follow:

Table 4.1
The students' score of pre-test at the experimental class

| NO | RESPONDENT | CRITERIA |  |  |  |  | SCORE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | G | V | F | C |  |
| 1 | AS | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 2 | DF | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 65 |
| 3 | DAA | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 57 |
| 4 | ES | 2 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 65 |
| 5 | HH | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 65 |
| 6 | LK | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 7 | LPM | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 65 |
| 8 | MN | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 9 | NEY | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 10 | NE | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 11 | NL | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 12 | NR | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 13 | RZ | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 14 | RFP | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 15 | SL | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 55 |
| 16 | SM | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 17 | SM | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 18 | SN | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 19 | SNK | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 55 |
| 20 | SR | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 21 | SM | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 22 | RM | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 53 |
| 23 | RR | 2 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 15 | 53 |
| 24 | RZ | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 25 | WH | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 59 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}= \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum X= \\ & 1573 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & M \\ = & 62,92 \end{aligned}$ |

Note:
A. : Accent
G. : Grammar
V. : Vocabulary
F. : Fluency
C. : Comprehension

Mean of Pre-test:
$\mathrm{X}=\frac{\sum X}{N}=\frac{1573}{25}=62,92$ (the mean of pre-test experimental class is 62,92 )

While the result of post-test in experimental class got better score. The result of post-test in experimental class described by table below:

Table 4.2
The students' score of post-test at the experimental class

| NO | RESPONDENT | CRITERIA |  |  |  |  | SCORE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | G | V | F | C |  |
| 1 | AS | 2 | 24 | 12 | 10 | 19 | 67 |
| 2 | DF | 2 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 19 | 87 |
| 3 | DAA | 2 | 24 | 24 | 10 | 19 | 79 |
| 4 | ES | 3 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 23 | 92 |
| 5 | HH | 2 | 18 | 20 | 8 | 19 | 67 |
| 6 | LK | 3 | 30 | 24 | 10 | 23 | 90 |
| 7 | LPM | 3 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 23 | 86 |
| 8 | MN | 2 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 19 | 75 |
| 9 | NEY | 3 | 30 | 20 | 12 | 23 | 88 |
| 10 | NE | 3 | 30 | 24 | 10 | 19 | 86 |
| 11 | NL | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 12 | NR | 2 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 23 | 79 |
| 13 | RZ | 2 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 65 |
| 14 | RFP | 3 | 30 | 24 | 10 | 23 | 90 |
| 15 | SL | 2 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 29 | 85 |
| 16 | SM | 3 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 23 | 92 |
| 17 | SM | 2 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 23 | 79 |


| 18 | SN | 2 | 24 | 20 | 8 | 23 | 77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | SNK | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 59 |
| 20 | SR | 2 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 19 | 75 |
| 21 | SM | 3 | 30 | 24 | 10 | 19 | 86 |
| 22 | RM | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 59 |
| 23 | RR | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 59 |
| 24 | RZ | 2 | 24 | 24 | 10 | 23 | 83 |
| 25 | WH | 2 | 18 | 20 | 8 | 19 | 67 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}= \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum X= \\ & 1942 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M}= \\ 77,64 \end{gathered}$ |

Note:
A. : Accent
G. : Grammar
V. : Vocabulary
F. : Fluency
C. : Comprehension

Mean of Post-test:
$\mathrm{X}=\frac{\sum X}{N}=\frac{1942}{25}=77,69$ (the mean of post-test experimental class is 77,69 )

Table 4.3
The difference score between pre-test and post-test at experimental class

| NO | RESPONDENT | Pre-test | Post-test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AS | 63 | 67 |
| 2 | DF | 65 | 87 |
| 3 | DAA | 57 | 79 |
| 4 | ES | 65 | 92 |
| 5 | HH | 65 | 67 |
| 6 | LK | 63 | 90 |
| 7 | LPM | 65 | 86 |
| 8 | MN | 63 | 75 |
| 9 | NEY | 69 | 88 |
| 10 | NE | 69 | 86 |
| 11 | NL | 63 | 69 |
| 12 | NR | 63 | 79 |
| 13 | RZ | 63 | 65 |
| 14 | RFP | 69 | 90 |
| 15 | SL | 55 | 85 |
| 16 | SM | 63 | 92 |
| 17 | SM | 69 | 79 |
| 18 | SN | 69 | 77 |
| 19 | SNK | 55 | 59 |
| 20 | SR | 63 | 75 |
| 21 | SM | 63 | 86 |
| 22 | RM | 53 | 59 |
| 23 | RR | 53 | 59 |
| 24 | RZ | 69 | 83 |
| 25 | WH | 59 | 67 |
| N <br> $=$ | TOTAL | $\sum \mathrm{X}=1573$ | $\Sigma \mathrm{X}=1941$ |
| 25 | AVERAGE | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{6 2 , 9 2}$ | M = 77,64 |

From the table 4.1 above showed that the result of students' pre-test score at the experimental class. The data showed the maximum score was 69 and the minimum score was 53 . There
was one student who got maximum score and there were two students who got minimum score. The average score of pre-test in experimental class was 62,92 .

From the table 4.2 above showed that the result of students' post-test score at the experimental class. The data showed the maximum score was 92 and the minimum score was 59 . There was one student who got maximum score and one student who got minimum score. The average score of post-test in experimental class was 77,64 .

From the table 4.3 showed the difference result of pre-test and post-test at the experimental class. It got the significant improvement after giving treatment using quantum teaching method, it was seen from the average of the post-test better than pre-test $62,92<77,64$.

## 2. Control Class

The writer describes the result of pre-test in the control class by the table as follow:

Table 4.4
The students' score of pre-test in the control class

| NO | RESPONDENT | CRITERIA |  |  |  |  | SCORE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | G | V | F | C |  |
| 1 | ASH | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 2 | AD | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 53 |
| 3 | DP | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 4 | KN | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 59 |
| 5 | MR | 2 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 65 |
| 6 | ND | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 53 |
| 7 | NI | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |


| 8 | NPK | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | NR | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 10 | RH | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 11 | RK | 2 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 59 |
| 12 | SR | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 59 |
| 13 | SH | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 53 |
| 14 | SN | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 15 | SN | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 59 |
| 16 | SH | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 53 |
| 17 | SJ | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 18 | SL | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 59 |
| 19 | UT | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 53 |
| 20 | VHS | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 21 | YM | 2 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 49 |
| 22 | YN | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 59 |
| 23 | YG | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 53 |
| 24 | YY | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 53 |
| 25 | ZK | 2 | 12 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 57 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}= \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum X= \\ & 1473 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}= \\ 58,92 \end{gathered}$ |

Note:
A. : Accent
G. : Grammar
V. : Vocabulary
F. : Fluency
C. : Comprehension

Mean of Pre-test:
$\mathrm{X}=\frac{\sum X}{N}=\frac{1473}{25}=58,92$ (the mean of pre-test control class is 46,92 )

While the result of post-test in control class got better score.
The result of post-test in control class described by table below:

Table 4.5
The students' score of post-test in the control class

| NO | RESPONDENT | CRITERIA |  |  |  |  | SCORE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | G | V | F | C |  |
| 1 | ASH | 3 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 72 |
| 2 | AD | 2 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 61 |
| 3 | DP | 2 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 65 |
| 4 | KN | 2 | 24 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 65 |
| 5 | MR | 2 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 71 |
| 6 | ND | 2 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 61 |
| 7 | NI | 3 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 72 |
| 8 | NPK | 2 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 61 |
| 9 | NR | 2 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 71 |
| 10 | RH | 3 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 72 |
| 11 | RK | 2 | 24 | 12 | 10 | 19 | 67 |
| 12 | SR | 2 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 65 |
| 13 | SH | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| 14 | SN | 2 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 71 |
| 15 | SN | 3 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 72 |
| 16 | SH | 2 | 24 | 20 | 8 | 15 | 65 |
| 17 | SJ | 2 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 19 | 81 |
| 18 | SL | 2 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 67 |
| 19 | UT | 2 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 69 |
| 20 | VHS | 2 | 18 | 20 | 10 | 19 | 69 |
| 21 | YM | 2 | 18 | 20 | 8 | 19 | 67 |
| 22 | YN | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 59 |
| 23 | YG | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 15 | 59 |
| 24 | YY | 2 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 15 | 71 |
| 25 | ZK | 2 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 19 | 63 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}= \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum X= \\ & 1679 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}= \\ 67,16 \end{gathered}$ |

Note:
A. : Accent
G. : Grammar
V. : Vocabulary
F. : Fluency
C. : Comprehension

Mean of Post-test:
$\mathrm{X}=\frac{\sum X}{N}=\frac{1679}{25}=67,16$ (the mean of post-test control class is 67,16 )

Table 4.6
The difference score between pre-test and post-test at the control class

| NO | RESPONDENT | Pre-test | Post-test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ASH | 63 | 72 |
| 2 | AD | 53 | 61 |
| 3 | DP | 63 | 65 |
| 4 | KN | 59 | 65 |
| 5 | MR | 65 | 71 |
| 6 | ND | 53 | 61 |
| 7 | NI | 69 | 72 |
| 8 | NPK | 55 | 61 |
| 9 | NR | 63 | 71 |
| 10 | RH | 69 | 72 |
| 11 | RK | 59 | 67 |
| 12 | SR | 59 | 65 |
| 13 | SH | 53 | 63 |
| 14 | SN | 63 | 71 |
| 15 | SN | 59 | 72 |
| 16 | SH | 53 | 65 |
| 17 | SJ | 69 | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |


| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | SL | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | UT | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ |
| 20 | VHS | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ |
| 21 | YM | 49 | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |
| 22 | YN | 53 | 59 |
| 23 | YG | 53 | 59 |
| 24 | YY | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | ZK | 57 | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | TOTAL | $\sum \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{1 4 7 3}$ | $\sum \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{1 6 7 9}$ |
| $=$ | AVERAGE | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{5 8 , 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{6 7 , 1 6}$ |

From the table 4.4 above showed that the result of students' pre-test score at the control class. The data showed the maximum score was 75 and the minimum score was 49 . There was one student who got maximum score and there were one student who got minimum score. The average score of pre-test in control class was 61,2 .

From the table 4.5 above showed that the result of students' post-test score at the control class. The data showed the maximum score was 81 and the minimum score was 59 . There were two students who got maximum score and there was two students who got minimum score. The average score of pre-test in control class was 67,16 .

From the table 4.6 above showed the difference result of pre-test and post-test at the control class got the significant improvement after giving treatment without using quantum teaching, it was seen from the average of the post-test better than pre-test $61,2<67,16$.

## B. Data Analysis

## 1. Experimental Class

The writer analysis the data by comparing students' score in pre-test and post-test in the experimental class. The students' improvement score caused the writer used quantum teaching in teaching speaking. If seen from the students' improvement score, it means that used quantum teaching was success in improving students' speaking. The writer describes the students' improvement score of pre-test and post-test at the experimental class by the table below:

Table 4.7
The difference score between pre-test and post-test result of experimental class

| No | Respondent | Pre-Test <br> $\left(X_{1}\right)$ | Post-Test <br> $\left(X_{2}\right)$ | Diferences( <br> $\left.X_{2}-X_{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AS | 63 | 67 | 4 |
| 2 | DF | 65 | 87 | 22 |
| 3 | DAA | 57 | 79 | 22 |
| 4 | ES | 65 | 92 | 27 |
| 5 | HH | 65 | 67 | 2 |
| 6 | LK | 63 | 90 | 27 |
| 7 | LPM | 65 | 86 | 21 |
| 8 | MN | 63 | 75 | 12 |
| 9 | NEY | 69 | 88 | 19 |
| 10 | NE | 69 | 86 | 17 |
| 11 | NL | 63 | 69 | 6 |
| 12 | NR | 63 | 79 | 13 |
| 13 | RZ | 63 | 65 | 2 |
| 14 | RFP | 69 | 90 | 21 |
| 15 | SL | 55 | 85 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| 16 | SM | 63 | 92 | 29 |
| 17 | SM | 69 | 79 | 10 |
| 18 | SN | 69 | 77 | $\mathbf{8}$ |


| 19 | SNK | 55 | 59 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | SR | 63 | 75 | 12 |
| 21 | SM | 63 | 86 | 23 |
| 22 | RM | 53 | 59 | 6 |
| 23 | RR | 53 | 59 | 6 |
| 24 | RZ | 69 | 83 | 19 |
| 25 | WH | 59 | 67 | 8 |
|  | TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \mathrm{X}= \\ & 1573 \end{aligned}$ | $\sum \mathrm{X}=1941$ | $\sum \mathrm{X}=370$ |
| 25 | AVERAGE | $\mathrm{M}=62,92$ | M $=77,64$ | $\mathrm{M}=14,8$ |

Table 4.7 above showed that the difference score between pre-test and post-test at the experimental class. The difference score was the result from the post-test scores reduced pre-test score. There was significant difference score between pre-test and post-test at the experimental class by the higgest score was 14 and the lowest was 1 . The graphic describes the table as follow:

Graphic 4.1
The different score between pre-test and post-test of experimental class


From graphic 4.1 above showed the results of the students' pre-test and post-test scores on the criteria in speaking at the
experimental class. Data showed that the maximum score in pre-test was 69 and the minimum score was 53 . While in posttest the maximum score was 90 and the minimum score was 59.

## 2. Control Class

The writer analysis the data by comparing students' score in pre-test and post-test at the control class. This result describes by the table below:

Table 4.8
The difference score between Pre-test and Post-test result of control class

| NO | Respondent | Pre-Test <br> $\left(X_{1}\right)$ | Post-Test <br> $\left(X_{2}\right)$ | Difference <br> $\left(X_{2}-X_{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ASH | 63 | 72 | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| 2 | AD | 53 | 61 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| 3 | DP | 63 | 65 | 2 |
| 4 | KN | 59 | 65 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 5 | MR | 65 | 71 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 6 | ND | 53 | 61 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| 7 | NI | 69 | 72 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| 8 | NPK | 55 | 61 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 9 | NR | 63 | 71 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| 10 | RH | 69 | 72 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| 11 | RK | 59 | 67 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| 12 | SR | 59 | 65 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 13 | SH | 53 | 63 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 14 | SN | 63 | 71 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| 15 | SN | 59 | 72 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| 16 | SH | 53 | 65 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| 17 | SJ | 69 | 81 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |
| 18 | SL | 59 | 67 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| 19 | UT | 53 | 69 | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
| 20 | VHS | 63 | 69 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 21 | YM | 49 | 67 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |


| 22 | YN | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | YG | 53 | 59 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 24 | YY | 59 | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | ZK | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | TOTAL | $\sum \mathbf{X}=$ | $\sum \mathbf{X}=1679$ |  |
| $=$ | $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{2 0 2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | AVERAGE | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{5 8 , 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{6 7 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{8 , 0 8}$ |

Table 4.8 above showed that the difference score between pre-test and post-test at the control class. The difference score was the result from the post-test scores reduced pre-test score. There was significant difference score between pre-test and post-test at the control class by the highest score was 18 and the lowest was 2 . The graphic describes the table as follow:

Graphic 4.2
The different score between pre-test and post-test of control class


From graphic 4.2 above showed the results of the students' pre-test and post-test scores on the criteria in speaking at the control class. Data showed that the maximum score in pre-test was 69 and the minimum score was 49 . While in post-test the maximum score was 81 and the minimum score was 59 . After
getting the data from score of two classes, then the writer analyzed it by using t-test. The formula as follow:

$$
t_{0}=\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{\left(\frac{\sum x_{1}^{2}+\sum x_{2}^{2}}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2}\right)\left(\frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{1} \cdot N_{2}}\right)}
$$

Notes:
$t_{0}=\mathrm{t}$ observation
$M_{1} \quad=$ Mean score of the experiment class
$M_{2}=$ Mean score of the control class
$\sum x_{1}^{2}=$ Sum of square deviation score in experiment class
$\sum x_{2}^{2}=$ Sum of square deviation score in control class
$N_{1} \quad=$ Number of students of experiment class
$N_{2} \quad=$ Number of students of control class
$2=$ Constant number
df $\quad=$ Degree of Freedom $\left(\mathrm{df}=N_{1}+N_{2}-2\right)$

Table 4.9
The result calculation of post-test at the experimental class $\left(X_{1}^{2}\right)$ and the control class ( $X_{2}^{2}$ )

| NO | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{1}^{2}$ | $x_{2}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4 5}$ |
| 2 | 87 | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 9 4}$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 6}$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 6}$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 9 4}$ |
| 7 | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 9 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ |


| 12 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 2 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3 0}$ |
| 14 | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4 7}$ |
| 15 | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 4 5}$ |
| 16 | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 . 5 4}$ |
| 18 | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 , 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ |
| 19 | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 7 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 8}$ |
| 20 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 8}$ |
| 21 | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ |
| 22 | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 7 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 5 8}$ |
| 23 | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 7 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 5 8}$ |
| 24 | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4 7}$ |
| 25 | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 , 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3 0}$ |
| $\sum$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7 9}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 7 8 9 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 3 . 4 2}$ |

Note :
$X_{1} \quad=$ Score Post-test (Experimental Class)
$X_{2} \quad=$ Score Post-test (Control Class)
$x_{1}=X_{1}-M_{1}\left(\operatorname{Mean} X_{1}\right)$
$x_{2}=X_{2}-M_{2}\left(\right.$ Mean $\left.X_{2}\right)$
$x_{1}^{2} \quad=$ The Squared Value of $x_{1}$
$x_{2}^{2} \quad=$ The Squared Value of $x_{2}$

From the table above, the researcher got the data $\sum X_{1}=$ 1941, $\sum X_{2}=1679, \sum x_{1}^{2}=2789.63, \sum x_{2}^{2}=623.42$ where as $N_{1}$ $=25$ and $N_{2}=25$. After that the writer calculated them based on the t -test formula, the steps as follow:

1. Determine mean of variable $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$

Variable $X_{1} M_{1}=\frac{\sum x_{1}}{N_{1}}=\frac{1941}{25}=77.64$
Variable $X_{2} M_{2}=\frac{\sum x_{2}}{N_{2}}=\frac{1679}{25}=67.16$

## 2. Determine t-test

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum x_{1}^{2}=2789.63 \\
& \sum x_{2}^{2}=623,42 \\
& \mathrm{df}=N_{1}+N_{2}-2=25+25-2=48 \\
& \qquad t_{o}=\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum x_{1}^{2}+\sum x_{2}^{2}}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2}\right)\left(\frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{1} \cdot N_{2}}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{27,64-67,16}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{2789,63+623,42}{25+25-2}\right)\left(\frac{25+25}{25.25}\right)}} \\
& \begin{array}{r}
\sqrt{\left(\frac{3413,05}{48}\right)\left(\frac{50}{625}\right)}
\end{array}= \\
& =\frac{10,48}{\sqrt{71,10 \times 0,08}}=\frac{10,48}{\sqrt{5,68}}=\frac{10,48}{2,38}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
4,40
$$

So after the writer calculates this data based on the formula $t$ test, the obtained $t_{o}$ or $t_{\text {observation }}$ was 4,40 .

## C. Hypothesis Testing

The data obtained from experimental class and control class were calculated with the assumption as follow:

If $t_{0}>t_{t}$ : the alternative hypothesis was accepted. It means there was significant effect of using quantum teaching in teaching speaking than without using quantum teaching. If $t_{0}<t_{t}$ : null hypothesis was rejected. It means there was no
significant effect of using quantum teaching in teaching speaking than without using quantum teaching than without it.

From the result of calculation above, it is obtained that the value of $t_{o}\left(t_{\text {observation }}\right)$ was 4,40 , the degree of freedom $(\mathrm{df})=$ 48. In the degree significance $5 \%=1,67$ in degree of significance $1 \%=2,40$. After that the writer compared the data with $t_{t}$ ( t table) both in degree significance $5 \%$ and $1 \%$. Therefore $t_{o}: t_{t}=4,40>1,67$ in degree of significance $5 \%$ and $t_{o}: t_{t}=4,40>2,40$ in degree significance $1 \%$.

The statistic hypothesis states that if $t_{o}$ is higher than $t_{t}$, it shows that $H_{a}$ (alternative hypothesis) of the result is accepted and $H_{o}$ (null hypothesis) is rejected. It means that there was an effect of using quantum teaching in teaching speaking than without using quantum teaching.

## D. Interpretation Data

From the result of the research that the mean of pre-test score obtained by students of MAN Cikeusal in the class X MIA (experimental class) 62,92 was smaller than class X IIS (control class) 58,92. The highest score of pre-test in X MIA (experimental class) was 69 and in the class X IIS (control class) was 75. The lowest score of pre-test in class X MIA (experimental class) was 53 and in the class X IIS (control class) was 49. It means that the distribution of score in experimental score was smaller than control class.

The mean of post-test score in experimental class was 77,64 was greater than in control class was 67,16 . The highest score in experimental class was 92 and in control class was 81 .

The lowest score in experimental class was 59 and in control class was 59. It means that the distribution of score post-test in experimental class was greater than class control.

Based on the data obtained from the research of experimental class and control class among the average score, $t$ observation and comparison with $t$ table. The writer summarize that the students taught by quantum teaching have improving in speaking ability than the students taught without quantum teaching.

The students who taught by using quantum teaching were easily to speak the words, and many activities by using quantum teaching that can make them more active in learning English especially in English speaking.

