## CHAPTER IV

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

## A. Description of Data

In this chapter, the writer attempt to submit the data as outcome of research at SMP Negeri 2 Cikande. This research is only directed to the students of second grade. To explore student's writing skill by using plot diagram, the writer takes the data by using pre-test and post-test. And the result from both tests will be used as data in this research. In this research the writer divided sample into two classes, 25 students of class VIII C as experimental class, and 25 students of class VIII D as control class.

The writer conducted the research about three weeks which consisted of pre-test on $17^{\text {th }}$ of April, the first treatment at experiment and control class on $18^{\text {th }}$ of April, the second treatment at experiment and control class on $20^{\text {th }}$ of April, the third treatment at experiment and control class on $1^{\text {st }}$ of May, and post-test implemented on $3^{\text {rd }}$ of May.

In this chapter the writer gives the reports concerning of the data description. She compares the achievement of pre-test and post-test, to know whether plot diagram is effective in teaching writing and then the writer makes the table of student's
score. The writer got two data, the first data is the result of pretest and the second one is the result of post-test. The result of post-test in experimental class is named variable (x) and the result of post-test in control class is named variable (y), the score is as follow:

1. The score of pre-test and post-test of experimental class.

The student's score of VIII C as the experiment class get $\sum x_{1} 1264$ pre-test and $\sum x_{2} 2003$ post-test. The score of pre-test and post-test will be described in the following table:

## Table 4.1

The Score of Pre-test and Post-test of Experimental Class
VIII C

| No | Initial <br> Name | Pre - test <br> $\left(x_{1}\right)$ | Post - test <br> $\left(x_{2}\right)$ | Categorization in <br> Post Test Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | AAS | 40 | 78 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | AA | 50 | 80 | Good |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | FNS | 53 | 85 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | HAR | 46 | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | H | 56 | 78 | Good |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | INA | 51 | 77 | Good |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | IF | 46 | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | ICB | 51 | 82 | Good |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | J | 45 | 79 | Good |


| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | KLNS | 52 | 85 | Excellent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | KYK | 41 | 78 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | LNP | 41 | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | LA | 52 | 79 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | MNSF | 53 | 81 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | MD | 49 | 78 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | M | 52 | 85 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | MF | 55 | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | MRA | 56 | 78 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | MWF | 52 | 81 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | NRP | 55 | 78 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | NWS | 55 | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | PWI | 55 | 82 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | RH | 52 | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | RY | 56 | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | SA | 50 | 79 | Good |
|  |  | $\sum x_{1}=$ | $\sum x_{2}=2003$ |  |
| Total Score | 1264 | $M=50,56$ | $\mathrm{M}=80,12$ |  |
|  |  | M |  |  |

The table 4.1 above showed the result of the students' pretest score and post-test score at experimental class. The data showed at pre-test the maximum score is 56 and the minimum
score is 40 . The student who got the maximum score is three students and the student who got the minimum score is one students. Whereas the data showed at post-test the maximum score is 85 and the minimum score is 77 . The student who got the maximum score is three students and the student who got the minimum score is one student. The writer described the student's score of pre-test and post-test of experimental class by the graphic as follow:

## Graphic 4.1

The Score Pre-test and Post-test of Experimental class


Based on graphic above, it has showed that the result of experimental class get the significant improvement after giving treatment. It is seem from average score of post-test is better than the average score of pre-test that $80.12>50.56$. It means that using plot diagram can improve students' writing skill on narrative text.

Table 4.2
The Score of Pre-test and Post-test of Control Class VIII D

| No | Initial <br> Name | Pre - test <br> $\left(x_{1}\right)$ | Post - test <br> $\left(x_{2}\right)$ | Categorization <br> in Post Test <br> Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ARM | 48 | 72 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | AR | 58 | 81 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | CC | 49 | 72 | Good |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | DY | 50 | 79 | Good |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | DR | 52 | 76 | Good |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | DAS | 49 | 75 | Good |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | EE | 45 | 77 | Good |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | FHS | 47 | 76 | Good |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | F | 47 | 78 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | HMM | 50 | 70 | G00d |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | MD | 57 | 78 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | MA | 51 | 77 | Good |


| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | MM | 58 | 82 | Excellent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | MAP | 49 | 73 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | MS | 51 | 71 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | NK | 42 | 70 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | NRA | 52 | 80 | Excellent |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | NE | 48 | 73 | Good |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | NF | 42 | 73 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | R | 50 | 72 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | SN | 49 | 75 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | SN | 44 | 75 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | STLP | 50 | 74 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | TK | 51 | 72 | Good |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | TH | 51 | 78 | Good |
| Total Score |  | $\sum x_{1}=1240$ | $\sum x_{2}=1879$ |  |
|  | M $=49,60$ | M $=75,16$ |  |  |

The table 4.2 above showed the result of the students' pretest score and post-test score at control group. The data showed at pre-test the maximum score is 58 and the minimum score is 42 . The student who got the maximum score is two student and the student who got the minimum score is two students. While the data showed at post-test the maximum score is 82 and the minimum score is 70 . The student who got the maximum score is one student and the student who got the minimum score is two
student. The writer described the student's score of pre-test and post-test of control class by the graphic as follow:

## Graphic 4.2

The Score Pre-test and Post-test of Control class


The graphic above has showed about the comparison between score of pre-test and post-test at control class. Based on the graphic above there is no significant improvement in the score of post-test and pre-test. It has showed that the result of control class did not have the significant improvement because there are some students who experience a decline in the score. It is seem from average score of post-test that is score of pre-test75.16 >
49.60. This class also realized can effect improvement but lower than experimental class.

Based on calculation above there was improvement student's achievement before using plot diagram and after using plot diagram. The way could be seen after comparing the score pre-test (before using plot diagram) and post-test (after using plot diagram) in class VIII C as experimental class and VIII D as control class. It means that there is significant effective on student writing skill by using plot diagram.

## B. Data Analysis

After getting the data from pre-test and post-test score of two classes then the writer analyzed it by using t -test formula with the degree of significant $5 \%$ and $1 \%$, the writer used step as follows:

Table 4.3
The Comparison of Score Each of the Students of the Experiment Class and Control Class

| $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{N O}$ | SCORE |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathbf{2}}-\boldsymbol{M}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |  |  |
| 1 | 78 | 72 | 2.12 | 3.16 | 4.4944 | 9.99 |
| 2 | 80 | 81 | 0.12 | -5.84 | 0.0144 | 34.11 |
| 3 | 85 | 72 | -4.88 | 3.16 | 23.8144 | 9.99 |
| 4 | 80 | 79 | 0.12 | -3.84 | 0.0144 | 14.75 |
| 5 | 78 | 76 | 2.12 | -0.84 | 4.4944 | 0.71 |


| 6 | 77 | 75 | 3.12 | 0.16 | 9.7344 | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 80 | 77 | 0.12 | -1.84 | 0.0144 | 3.39 |
| 8 | 82 | 76 | -1.88 | -0.84 | 3.5344 | 0.71 |
| 9 | 79 | 78 | 1.12 | -2.84 | 1.2544 | 8.07 |
| 10 | 85 | 70 | -4.88 | 5.16 | 23.8144 | 26.63 |
| 11 | 78 | 78 | 2.12 | -2.84 | 4.4944 | 8.07 |
| 12 | 80 | 77 | 0.12 | -1.84 | 0.0144 | 3.39 |
| 13 | 79 | 82 | 1.12 | -6.84 | 1.2544 | 46.79 |
| 14 | 81 | 73 | -0.88 | 2.16 | 0.7744 | 4.67 |
| 15 | 78 | 71 | 2.12 | 4.16 | 4.4944 | 17.31 |
| 16 | 85 | 70 | -4.88 | 5.16 | 23.8144 | 26.63 |
| 17 | 80 | 80 | 0.12 | -4.84 | 0.0144 | 23.43 |
| 18 | 78 | 73 | 2.12 | 2.16 | 4.4944 | 4.67 |
| 19 | 81 | 73 | -0.88 | 2.16 | 0.7744 | 4.67 |
| 20 | 78 | 72 | 2.12 | 3.16 | 4.4944 | 9.99 |
| 21 | 80 | 75 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.0144 | 0.03 |
| 22 | 82 | 75 | -1.88 | 0.16 | 3.5344 | 0.03 |
| 23 | 80 | 74 | 0.12 | 1.16 | 0.0144 | 1.35 |
| 24 | 80 | 72 | 0.12 | 3.16 | 0.0144 | 9.99 |
| 25 | 79 | 78 | 1.12 | -2.84 | 1.2544 | 8.07 |
| Total Score | 2003 | 1879 |  |  | 120.6400 | 277.36 |
| Average | 80.12 | 75.16 |  |  | 4.8256 | 11.09 |

## Note:

| $\boldsymbol{x}_{2}$ | $=$ Score Post-test (Experimental Class) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{y}_{2}$ | $=$ Score Post-test (Control Class) |
| X | $=\boldsymbol{x}_{2}-\mathrm{M}$ (Mean x$)$ |
| Y | $=\boldsymbol{y}_{2}-\mathrm{M}$ (Mean y) |
| $X^{2}$ | $=$ the squared value of X |
| $Y^{2}$ | $=$ the squared value of Y |

The data from table above presented into graphic, it has purpose to collect score between experiment and control class.

## Graphic 4.3

The Score of Distribution Frequency


Based on the graphic above, the writer has seen that the comparison between experiment class $\sum \mathrm{x}_{2}=2003$ and control class $\sum \mathrm{y}_{2}=1879$ had different values. The experiment class is higher than control class. It is caused by the use of different method of experiment and control class as mentioned above that experiment class used plot diagram technique and control class used explanatory method. For more detail, the writer has written this comparison in statically.

From the table above, the writer get the data $\Sigma$ $\mathrm{x}_{2}=2003, \sum y_{2}=1879, \sum \mathrm{X}^{2}=120.64$ and $\sum \mathrm{Y}^{2}=277.36$ whereas $\mathrm{N}_{1}=25$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=25$. After getting the data from pre-test and posttest, the writer analyzed it by using statistic calculation of t-test formula with the degree of significance $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ the formula as follow:

1. Determine mean of variable $x_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1} & =\frac{\sum x_{2}}{N_{1}} \\
= & \frac{2003}{25} \\
& =80.12
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Determine mean of variable $y_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2} & =\frac{\sum y_{2}}{N_{2}} \\
& =\frac{1879}{25} \\
& =75.16
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Determine t-test

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{o}=\frac{M_{1}-M_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sum X^{2}+\sum Y^{2}}{0_{1}+N_{2}-2}\right)\left(\frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{1} \cdot N_{2}}\right)}} \\
t_{o}=\frac{80.12-75.16}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{120.64+277.36}{25+25-2}\right)\left(\frac{25+25}{25.25}\right)}} \\
t_{o}=\frac{4.96}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{398}{48}\right)\left(\frac{50}{625}\right)}} \\
t_{o}=\frac{4.96}{\sqrt{(8.29)(0.08)}} \\
t_{o}=\frac{4.96}{\sqrt{0.66}} \\
t_{o}=\frac{4.96}{0.81} \\
=6.12
\end{gathered}
$$

From the result of the calculation above, it is obtained that the value of $t_{0}$ (t observation) is 6.12 , after found the data the writer compared it with $t_{t}(\mathrm{t}$ table) both in degree significant $5 \%$ and $1 \%$
4. $\mathrm{df}=N_{1+} N_{2}-2$
$=25+25-2$
$=50-2$
$=48$

## C. Data interpretation

After analysing the pre-test and the post-test from two groups, experiment group and control group, the writer get the data of pre-test and post-test score. In the experiment class, the highest score of pre-test is 56 and the lowest score is 40 . The highest score of post-test is 85 and the lowest score is 70 . The mean of pre-test score obtained by students in this class is 50.56 and the mean of post-test is 80.12 . The mean of pre-test and posttest score has improvement it seen $80.12>50.56$. The improvement caused by the experimental class learns writing skill on narrative text by using plot diagram that not used yet before.

In the control class, the highest score of pre-test is 58 and the lowest score is 42 . The highest score of post-test is 82 and the lowest score is 70 . The mean of pre-test score obtained by students in this class is 49.60 and the mean of post-test is 75.16 . This class also realized improvement but lower than experimental class, it seen from the mean that is 80.12 on experimental class and 75.16 on control class. It means experimental class gets significant improvement be higher than control class that is 80.12 > 75.16 .

Then, the writer analysis using t-test after the data has obtained from both pre-test and post-test with the formula as follow:

If $t_{0}>t_{t}$ : the alternative hypothesis $\left(H_{a}\right)$ is accepted and null hypothesis $\left(H_{o}\right)$ is rejected. It means there is significant effect of using plot diagram in teaching students' writing skill on narrative text.

If $t_{0}<t_{t}$ : the alternative hypothesis $\left(H_{a}\right)$ is rejected and null hypothesis $\left(H_{o}\right)$ is accepted. It means there is no significant effect of using plot diagram in teaching students' writing skill on narrative text.

According to the data, the value of $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ is bigger than $t_{t} . t_{o}=6.12>$ $t_{t}=1.67(5 \%)$ or $t_{o}=6.12>t_{t}=2.40(1 \%)$, so $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is rejected and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is accepted.

Based on the data obtained from control and experimental class among the average scores and $t$ observation, the writer summarizes that teaching narrative text through plot diagram has significant effectiveness toward students' writing skill. It has proved that plot diagram could increase students' writing skill on narrative text. Plot diagram is a classroom activity in which students work together in small group to make a task and then they rotate through a variety of tasks to respond the task. This technique serves many different types of students' intelligences and many different ways in which students learn.

Hence, when the students have given the treatment in three meetings, they could be easy to write and understand the narrative text in using plot diagram. Because they have helped by
what they looked on plot diagram, when they had writing posttest, they could be easy to write the narrative text by their own words. The students' writing achievement improved in post-test. It can be seen in the main score which has been mentioned before. Moreover, in applying plot diagram in the classroom, the writer felt that the students could enjoy writing. They could actively involve in teaching and learning activity since the students could use their creativity and imaginary. Plot diagram supplies the story elements that can be drawn by the students. They could use their imaginary and creativity to draw the plot diagram elements and it makes teaching writing narrative text be more fun.

From the explanation above, the writer gives conclusion that there is improvement on students' achievement before using plot diagram and after using plot diagram. The way could be seen after comparing the score pre-test (before using plot diagram) and post-test (after using plot diagram) in class VIII C as experiment class and VIII D as control class. It means that there is significant effect in teaching students' writing skill on narrative text by using plot diagram.

