## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDING AND DISSCUSSION

## A. The Data from Test

## 1. Description of The Data Test

The purpose of this research is to give the report the data description and to analyze score of pre-test and post-test of experiment and control class. The researcher compares the achievement of pre-test and post-test, to know whether tongue twister technique is effective in teaching student's pronunciation skills

The researcher held this research in second grade of MTs. AlFath Cilegon. The researcher took 48 students for sample, 24 students from class VIIIB as an experimental group, and 24 students from class VIIIC as a control group.

In this research, the researcher gave test to the students for twice, first test is called pre-test, and the second test called post-test. Both of the pre-test and post-test which the writer gave to the students has scoring system for each number of test, that every number of test gave score began $1(\mathrm{bad})$ for students who pronounce the word with difference in sound of initial consonant, final consonant, simple vowel, and diphthongs with lead to appropriate the intended meaning. 2 (poor)
for students who pronounce the word with Several mistakes in sound of initial consonant, final consonant, simple vowel, and diphthongs with lead to appropriate the intended meaning. 3 (average) for students who pronounce the word with Few mistakes in sound of initial consonant, final consonant, simple vowel, and diphthongs with lead to appropriate the intended meaning. 4 (good) for students who pronounce the word with Very few mistakes in sound of initial consonant, final consonant, simple vowel, and diphthongs with lead to appropriate the intended meaning. 5 (excellent ) for students who pronounce the word with correct in sound of initial consonant, final consonant, simple vowel, and diphthongs with lead to appropriate the intended meaning. The researcher got the score as follow:

## 2. Analyzing of Data Research

Table 1
The Student's Score Testing Using Tongue Twister As Experiment Class

| No | Name | Pre-Test | Post-Test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AW | 24 | 88 |
| 2 | ANA | 50 | 85 |
| 3 | DA | 50 | 90 |
| 4 | DF | 24 | 96 |


| 5 | ESK | 25 | 86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | FJ | 41 | 94 |
| 7 | IY | 49 | 86 |
| 8 | IS | 25 | 85 |
| 9 | KR | 50 | 90 |
| 10 | LS | 40 | 96 |
| 11 | LIF | 43 | 86 |
| 12 | MNP | 50 | 94 |
| 13 | MNM | 20 | 93 |
| 14 | MM | 46 | 86 |
| 15 | MJ | 36 | 92 |
| 16 | MJP | 40 | 85 |
| 17 | NA | 24 | 90 |
| 18 | NH | 50 | 96 |
| 19 | RA | 41 | 86 |
| 20 | RS | 41 | 94 |
| 21 | SQ | 24 | 86 |
| 22 | SH | 50 | 91 |
| 23 | SA | 50 | 85 |
| 24 | TSR | 24 | 90 |

Determine frequency distribution

| 20 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 36 | 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 43 | 46 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2
Frequency Distribution of Score of Pronunciation Pre-Test The Experiment Class Taught Using Tongue Twister

| Score | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{f ( \mathbf { x } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } } )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | 20 | 400 | 400 |
| 24 | 5 | 120 | 576 | 2880 |
| 25 | 2 | 50 | 625 | 1250 |
| 36 | 1 | 36 | 1296 | 1296 |
| 40 | 2 | 80 | 1600 | 3200 |
| 41 | 3 | 123 | 1681 | 5043 |
| 43 | 1 | 43 | 1849 | 1849 |
| 46 | 1 | 46 | 2116 | 2116 |
| 49 | 1 | 49 | 2401 | 2401 |
| 50 | 7 | 350 | 2500 | 17500 |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=24$ | $\sum \mathrm{fx}=917$ | $\sum \mathrm{X}^{2}=15044$ | $\sum \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}\right)=37935$ |

Determine mean of variable $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ (Pre-Test)

1. Determine mean variable X by Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{MX}_{1} & =\frac{\sum f X_{1}}{N_{1}} \\
& =\frac{917}{24} \\
& =38,2
\end{aligned}
$$

The average score of experiment class students from pre-test is
2. Determine standard deviation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{SD} & =\frac{\overline{\Sigma f x 2}}{f x} \\
& =\frac{\overline{37935}}{24} \\
& =\overline{1580} \\
& =39,7
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard deviation score of experiment class students from pre-test is 39,7

Determine frequency distribution of score post-test $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$
Determine frequency distribution

| 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 88 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 94 |

$\begin{array}{llll}94 & 96 & 96 & 96\end{array}$
Table 3
Frequency Distribution of Score of Pronunciation Post-Test The Experiment Class Though Using Tongue Twister

| Score | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | 4 | 340 | 7225 | 28900 |
| 86 | 6 | 516 | 7396 | 44376 |
| 88 | 1 | 88 | 7744 | 7744 |
| 90 | 4 | 360 | 8100 | 32400 |
| 91 | 1 | 91 | 8281 | 8281 |
| 92 | 1 | 92 | 8464 | 8464 |
| 93 | 1 | 93 | 8649 | 8649 |
| 94 | 3 | 282 | 8836 | 26508 |


| 96 | 3 | 288 | 9216 | 27648 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=24$ | $\sum \mathrm{fx}=2150$ | $\sum \mathrm{X}^{2}=73911$ | $\sum \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}\right)=$ |
|  |  |  |  | 192970 |

Determine mean of variable $X_{2}$ (Post-Test)

1. Determine mean variable X by Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{MX}_{2} & =\frac{\sum f X_{1}}{N_{1}} \\
& =\frac{2150}{24} \\
& =89,5
\end{aligned}
$$

The average score of experiment class students from post-test is 89,5
2. Determine standard deviation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{SD} & =\frac{\overline{\Sigma f x 2}}{f x} \\
& =\frac{\overline{192970}}{24} \\
& =\overline{8040,4} \\
& =89,6
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard deviation score of experiment class students from posttest is 89,6

Determine different score of experiment class from post-test, by formula:
$\mathrm{MX}=\mathrm{MX}_{2}-\mathrm{MX}_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =89,5-38,2 \\
& =51,3
\end{aligned}
$$

The average score of experiment class students from pre-test to post-test got increasing in amount of 51,3 points.

## Table 4

The Score of Pronunciation for Students Not Using Tongue Twister of 24 Students from Control Class

| No | Name | Pre-Test | Post-Test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AP | 32 | 47 |
| 2 | AAA | 50 | 53 |
| 3 | AN | 50 | 58 |
| 4 | BW | 24 | 60 |
| 5 | DUZ | 25 | 61 |
| 6 | FR | 48 | 54 |
| 7 | H | 49 | 58 |
| 8 | HM | 31 | 52 |
| 9 | HH | 50 | 57 |
| 10 | H | 40 | 54 |
| 11 | IK | 43 | 57 |
| 12 | IV | 50 | 59 |
| 13 | MP | 20 | 43 |
| 14 | MH | 46 | 62 |
| 15 | NHH | 36 | 60 |
| 16 | NS | 40 | 59 |
| 17 | NN | 24 | 65 |
| 18 | RS | 50 | 62 |


| 19 | RM | 50 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | RA | 41 | 43 |
| 21 | SF | 24 | 62 |
| 22 | SHF | 50 | 60 |
| 23 | SA | 50 | 59 |
| 24 | TAL | 30 | 65 |

Determining frequency distribution score of pre-test control class (variable $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ )

| 20 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 36 | 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | 41 | 43 | 46 | 48 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5
Frequency Distribution of Score of Pronunciation Pre-Test The
Control Class
Student Not Using Tongue Twister

| Score | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | 20 | 400 | 400 |
| 24 | 3 | 72 | 576 | 1728 |
| 25 | 1 | 25 | 625 | 625 |
| 30 | 1 | 30 | 900 | 900 |
| 31 | 1 | 31 | 961 | 961 |
| 32 | 1 | 32 | 1024 | 1024 |


| 36 | 1 | 36 | 1296 | 1296 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 2 | 80 | 1600 | 3200 |
| 41 | 1 | 41 | 1681 | 1681 |
| 43 | 1 | 43 | 1849 | 1849 |
| 46 | 1 | 46 | 2116 | 2116 |
| 48 | 1 | 48 | 2304 | 2304 |
| 49 | 1 | 49 | 2401 | 2401 |
| 50 | 8 | 400 | 2500 | 20000 |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=30$ | $\sum \mathrm{fx}=953$ | $\sum \mathrm{X}^{2}=20233$ | $\sum \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}\right)=40485$ |

Determine mean of variable Y (Pre-Test)

1. Determine mean variable Y by Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{MY}_{1} & =\frac{\sum f y_{1}}{N_{1}} \\
& =\frac{953}{24} \\
& =39,7
\end{aligned}
$$

The average score of experiment class students from post-test is 39,7
2. Determine standard deviation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{SD} & =\frac{\overline{\Sigma f x 2}}{f x} \\
& =\frac{\overline{40485}}{24} \\
& =\overline{1686,8}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=41
$$

The standard deviation score of control class students from pre-test is 41

Determine frequency distribution of score post-test (Variable $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ )

Determine frequency distribution

| 43 | 43 | 47 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 54 | 57 | 57 | 58 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 58 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 62 |

Table 6
Frequency Distribution of Score of Pronunciation Post-Test The Control Class Taught Using Tongue Twister

| Score | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{F x}$ | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{f ( \mathbf { x } ^ { 2 } )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 2 | 86 | 1849 | 3698 |
| 47 | 1 | 47 | 2209 | 2209 |
| 52 | 1 | 52 | 2704 | 2704 |
| 53 | 1 | 53 | 2809 | 2809 |
| 54 | 2 | 108 | 2916 | 5832 |
| 57 | 2 | 114 | 3249 | 6498 |
| 58 | 2 | 116 | 3364 | 6728 |
| 59 | 4 | 236 | 3481 | 13924 |
| 60 | 3 | 180 | 3600 | 10800 |
| 61 | 1 | 61 | 3721 | 3721 |
| 62 | 3 | 186 | 3844 | 11532 |
| 65 | 2 | 130 | 4225 | 8450 |
|  | $\mathrm{~N}=24$ | $\sum \mathrm{fx}=1369$ | $\sum \mathrm{X}^{2}=37971$ | $\sum \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}\right)=78905$ |

Determine mean of variable Y (Post-Test)

1. Determine mean variable Y by Formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{MY}_{2} & =\frac{\sum f y_{1}}{N_{1}} \\
& =\frac{1369}{24} \\
& =57
\end{aligned}
$$

The average score of control class students from post-test is 57
2. Determine standard deviation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{SD} & =\frac{\overline{\Sigma f x 2}}{f x} \\
& =\frac{\overline{78905}}{24} \\
& =\overline{3287,7} \\
& =57,3
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard deviation score of experiment class students from posttest is 57,3

Determine different score of experiment class from post-test, by formula :
$\mathrm{MY}=\mathrm{MY}_{2}-\mathrm{MY}_{1}$
$=57-39,7$
$=17,3$
The average score of experiment class students from pre-test to post-test got increasing in amount of 17,3 points.

Table 7
Standard Deviation

| No | $\begin{gathered} \text { X1 } \\ \text { (Post-Tes X) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { X2 } \\ \text { (Post-Tes Y) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} 1 \\ (\mathrm{X}-X 1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} 2 \\ (\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{X} 2) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{X 1}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | X $2^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 88 | 47 | -1.5 | -10 | 2.25 | 100 |
| 2 | 85 | 53 | -4.5 | -4 | 20.25 | 16 |
| 3 | 90 | 58 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 4 | 96 | 60 | 6.5 | 3 | 42.25 | 9 |
| 5 | 86 | 61 | -3.5 | 4 | 12.25 | 16 |
| 6 | 94 | 54 | 4.5 | -3 | 20.25 | 9 |
| 7 | 86 | 58 | -3.5 | 1 | 12.25 | 1 |
| 8 | 85 | 52 | -4.5 | -5 | 20.25 | 25 |
| 9 | 90 | 57 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 |
| 10 | 96 | 54 | 6.5 | -3 | 42.25 | 9 |
| 11 | 86 | 57 | -3.5 | 0 | 12.25 | 0 |
| 12 | 94 | 59 | 4.5 | 2 | 20.25 | 4 |
| 13 | 93 | 43 | 3.5 | -14 | 12.25 | 196 |
| 14 | 86 | 62 | -3.5 | 5 | 12.25 | 25 |
| 15 | 92 | 60 | 2.5 | 3 | 6.25 | 9 |
| 16 | 85 | 59 | -4.5 | 2 | 20.25 | 4 |
| 17 | 90 | 65 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.25 | 64 |
| 18 | 96 | 62 | 6.5 | 5 | 42.25 | 25 |
| 19 | 86 | 59 | -3.5 | 2 | 12.25 | 4 |
| 20 | 94 | 43 | 4.5 | -14 | 20.25 | 196 |
| 21 | 86 | 62 | -3.5 | 5 | 12.25 | 25 |
| 22 | 91 | 60 | 1.5 | 3 | 2.25 | 9 |


| 23 | 85 | 59 | -4.5 | 2 | 20.25 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 90 | 65 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.25 | 64 |
|  | $\sum=2150$ | $\sum=1369$ | $\sum=-2$ | $\sum=-1$ | $\sum=366$ | $\sum=815$ |


| $\mathrm{N}=24$ | $\mathrm{X} 1^{2}=366$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $X 1=89,5$ | $\mathrm{X} 2^{2}=815$ |
| $X 2=57$ | $\mathrm{X} 1=-1$ |
| $\mathrm{X} 1=2150$ | $\mathrm{X} 2=-2$ |
| $\mathrm{X} 2=1369$ |  |

Determining of standard error of different mean variable X and Y

$$
\begin{aligned}
S E_{M 1} & =\frac{S D_{1}}{\overline{N-1}} \\
& =\frac{89,6}{\overline{24-1}} \\
& =\frac{89,6}{\overline{23}} \\
& =\frac{89,6}{4,7} \\
& =19,06
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard error of different mean variable X is 19,06

$$
\begin{aligned}
S E_{M 2} & =\frac{S D_{2}}{\overline{N-1}} \\
& =\frac{57,3}{\overline{24-1}} \\
& =\frac{57,3}{\overline{23}} \\
& =\frac{57,3}{4,7} \\
& =12,1
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard error of different mean variable Y is 12,1

$$
\begin{aligned}
S E_{M 1 . M 2} & =S E_{M 1}^{2}+S E_{M 2}^{2} \\
& =\overline{(19,06)^{2}+(12,1)^{2}} \\
& =\overline{363,2+146,4} \\
& =\overline{509,6} \\
& =22,57
\end{aligned}
$$

Standard error of different mean variable X and Y is 22,57
Determining how big percentage of the average score increase variable X and Y by formula.

Determine percentage variable X

$$
\begin{aligned}
\% & =\frac{M x}{M x+M y} \times 100 \% \\
& =\frac{89,6}{89,6+57,3} \times 100 \% \\
& =\frac{89,6}{146,9} \times 100 \% \\
& =60,9 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine percentage variable Y

$$
\begin{aligned}
\% & =\frac{M y}{M y+M x} \times 100 \% \\
& =\frac{57,3}{57,3+89,6} \times 100 \% \\
& =\frac{57,3}{146,9} \times 100 \% \\
& =39 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the data above, we know that between variable X and $Y$ has different significant in the percentage. Score of variable $X$ is $60,9 \%$ and variable Y is $39 \%$, it increases from variable X and Y , it's value is $21,9 \%$.

The last analysis is determining t-test by formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{o} & =\frac{M x-M y}{\frac{\left(X_{1}{ }^{2}+Y_{2}{ }^{2}\right)}{N_{1}+N_{2}-2} \frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{1} \cdot N_{2}}} \\
& =\frac{89,6-57,3}{\frac{(366+815)}{24+24-2} \frac{24+24}{24,24}} \\
& =\frac{32,3}{\frac{1181}{46} \frac{48}{576}} \\
& =\frac{32,3}{383,7 \quad 0,08} \\
& =\frac{32,3}{31,97} \\
& =\frac{32,3}{5,65} \\
& =5,71
\end{aligned}
$$

Determining $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ with significant $5 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{df} & =\mathrm{N} 1+\mathrm{N} 2-2 \\
& =24+24-2=46 \\
& =2,01
\end{aligned}
$$

Determining $\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$ with significant $1 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{df} & =\mathrm{N} 1+\mathrm{N} 2-2 \\
& =24+24-2=46 \\
& =2,68
\end{aligned}
$$

After getting the data, writer analysis based on the statistic calculation of the data $t$-test and the result of t-test formula, it can be seen that in the general score of experiment class is better than control class. It can be seen from the total amount of the score of class B (experiment class) is 89,5 and B (control class) is 57,3 it means score of the experiment class is bigger than control class.

According to the result of statistic calculation, it can be obtained that the score of $t_{0}$ is $=5,71$ degree that the score is the value 46 is mentioned in the table about 2,01 (as degree of significance)

## 3. Hypothesis

To prove the hypothesis, the Data obtained from the experiment class and control classes are calculated by using t-test formula with assumption as follow: if T observation $>\mathrm{T}$ table the alternative hypothesis is accepted. it means there is significant different between teaching using "Tongue twister method" for students pronunciation skill.

If T observation < T table the alternative hypothesis is rejected, it means there is significant testing between using "Tongue twister method" and not using the tongue twister for students pronunciation skill.

## 4. Interpretation of The Data and Discussion

From the result of the calculation above, it is obtained that the value of $t_{o}$ is in the degree of 5,71 . The degree of freedom (df) is 46 . In this thesis, the writer used the degree of significance of $5 \%$ are 2.01 , hence T observation: T table $5,71>2.01$. It means that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (the alternative Hypothesis of the research is accepted, and $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ (the null hypothesis) is rejected.

It means that tongue twister technique has shown the significance influence for students' pronunciation and this strategy can be used as a good strategy to improve student's pronunciation skill.

## B. Data from Interview

From the result of students' interview, the writer could describe and identify the perception of students on using of tongue twister in learning pronunciation. The writer conducted an interview after getting the score of test. The writer interviewed 3 students. The writer conducted the interview by using an Indonesian language. The detailed transcribed of interview can be seen in appendix. Hence, the writer would describe bellow:

In the students' interview, the writer submitted 5 questions. The student's interview got as a representative of students who interviewed. The following questions' interview that submitted by the writer:

1. What is your feeling after studying use tongue twister?

From the result of interview, the response from students is satisfied. The following of interview's transcribed that obtained from conducted interview:
a. The interview answer from student with initial "MMA"
"Menyenangkan, agak sulit lidahnya seperti terbelitbelit mengucapkannya, tetapi melatih lidah untuk lebih mudah belajar B.Inggris "
(It is fun, little bit difficult for tongue, it is like twisted to say it, but it is trained tongue to be easier in learning English, March 31, 2017).
b. The interview answer from student with initial "SHP"
"bisa membantu pelafalannya, dan juga bisa melatih agar bisa/lancar"
(it can help us in pronunciation, and can train us to be fluent, March 31, 2017).
c. The interview answer from student with initial "KR"
"Sangat membantu karena membantu palafalan Bahasa Inggris"
(It really helps us because it helps in English pronunciation, March 31, 2017).
2. Did you feel satisfied with studying use tongue twister?

From the result of interview, the response from students is satisfied. The following of interview's transcribed that obtained from conducted interview:
a. The interview answer from student with initial "MMA"
"ya, merasa puas"
(Yes, I am satisfied, March 31, 2017).
b. The interview answer from student with initial "SHP"
"Saya merasa sangat puas, karena bisa belajar bareng bersama kakak-kakak dan saya pun terlatih dengan lancar"
(I feel so satisfied, because I can study with my senior and I am trained fluently, March 31, 2017).
c. The interview answer from student with initial "KR"
"Puas sekali, soalnya saya tidak bisa huruf r"
(Really satisfied, because I can pronounce R, March 31, 2017).
3. According to your opinion, how important do we pronounce the word correctly?
From the result of interview, the students answer that correct pronunciation is important. The following of interview's transcribed that obtained from conducted interview:
a. The interview answer from student with initial "MMA"
"Sangat penting sekali karena untuk memudahkan dalam pelajaran membaca B.Inggris"
(It is very important, because to make easiness in learning reading English. March 31, 2017)
b. The interview answer from student with initial "SHP"
"Penting agar kita bisa melafalkannya"
(It is important, so that we can pronounce it, March 31, 2017).
c. The interview answer from student with initial "KR"
"Penting karena salah pelafalan berarti beda arti"
(it is important, because wrong in pronunciation means different meaning, March 31, 2017).
4. According to your opinion, how difficult do you pronounce word?

The following of interview's transcribed that obtained from conducted interview:
a. The interview answer from student with initial "MMA"
"Tidak begitu sulit jika kita nya terus berusaha dengan benar "
(it is not too difficult, if we want to try well March 31, 2017).
b. The interview answer from student with initial "SHP"
"Tidak ada kata sulit"
(There is no word difficult, March 31, 2017).
c. The interview answer from student with initial "KR"
"Sulit karena tidak terbiasa berbicara Bahasa Inggris"
(It is difficult, because we are not accustomed in English speaking, March 31, 2017).
5. Did the way of learning using tongue twister give you easiness in learning pronunciation?

The following of interview's transcribed that obtained from conducted interview:
a. The interview answer from student with initial "MMA"
"Ya, lebih mudah belajar Bahasa Inggris, inti nya good job! I like tongue twister"
(Yes, it makes easy us in learning English, the essential is good job, I like tongues twister, March 31, 2017).
b. The interview answer from student with initial "SHP"
"Ya, sangat memudahkan sekali, karena kita juga sedang belajar pasti akan mudah, tidak ada kata sulit"
(Yes, it really makes us easy, because we are learning while it must be easy, there is now term difficult, March 31, 2017).
c. The interview answer from student with initial "KR"
"lumayan hehe" (Reasonable, hehe, March 31, 2017

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION

## A. Conclusions

Based on the research entitled about The Effectiveness of Tongue Twisters Technique toward Student Pronunciation Skills, and concerning to the result and discussion, the researcher concludes that:

1. The students' pronunciation ability at the second grade of MTs Alfath Cilegon was good. The result can be seen in the description of chapter IV that the highest score is 96 for experiment class and it is bigger than control class while the biggest score for control class is 65.
2. Pronunciation is one of skill that must be mastered in English language. In the pronunciation, the researcher could recognize generally about student's problem in pronunciation, they are initial consonant, final consonant, simple vowel, and diphthongs. Those four aspects are considered hard to be pronounced by the students.
3. Based on the result that is described on previous chapter proved that tongue twisters is effective in increase students' pronunciation skill. The evidence is when students practice their pronunciation by using tongue twisters technique. It shows that tongue twisters technique
can help the students to increase their pronunciation skill. Through tongue twisters technique students can enjoy the teaching and learning process.

## B. SUGGESTION

Hence, in the final of this paper, the researcher would like to give some suggestions:

1. The teacher should recognize all the student's difficulties in English pronunciation in order to correct all of their mistakes when they produce/pronounce the word.
2. Teacher of English should be master the technique of introducing intonation, in order to get more interesting atmosphere of learning and teaching process.
3. Choosing a technique or strategy in teaching pronunciation is very important because some students consider that English pronunciation is very difficult. Therefore, the teacher must have appropriate strategy, make situation enjoyable to teaching English and give more understanding to the students about the important of the pronunciation.
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