Reviewer Comments to Author:

Reviewer:

I admire the author's effort and hope that this article will be published soon.

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Overall, the paper is well-written and structured.

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

Yes, the version has been improved and is far better than previous one. Some additional literature has been included.

3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

Each step must be thoroughly described.

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

Yes, this author has been thoroughly reworked this section.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper? Conclusions are used to respond to the research questions presented in the introduction. Has the objective of this research been indicated in the introduction?

This section has been well rewritten by the author.

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.

Yes. This improved version is better than before.